

Manuscript: **“Potential Role of Lactoferrin and Heparin in COVID-19: A Review”**

**Submitted: 01 March 2021**

**Accepted: 21 April 2021**

**Published: 30 April 2021**

Corresponding Author: Bianka Hoxha

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n14p14

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Blinded

**Reviewer 2:** Patricia Randrianavony, University of Antananarivo, Madagascar

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

The title is clear.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

All elements of the paper are adequately defined in the abstract

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

*(Please insert your comments)*

The paper is well written and no grammatical errors were detected.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

It is a review paper so the methodology is only limited to an adequate search in the different databases, so it is good

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

The whole paper is very clear, and has a logical sequence, so I consider that a good bibliographic review was carried out. However, it would be clearer if a figure could be incorporated (review comments) that the paper is more complete.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract is clear and demonstrates the purpose of the paper

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

The bibliography is well cited in the paper.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*  
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*  
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

I consider it important that a figure be incorporated to try to address a proposal for the Lactoferrin and Heparin mechanism in COVID-19.

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

the title is clear and adequate to the content of the article

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

the abstract clearly presents objects, methods, and results

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

*(Please insert your comments)*

there are few grammatical errors in this article. they are highlighted and corrected in red

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

the methods are clearly explained

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

the body of the paper is clear

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

conclusion is accurate and supported by the content

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

the list is comprehensive but needs to be rearranged: either the authors use numbers in the text or they put the reference list in alphabetical order. They should not use the two styles together

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):