

Manuscript: **“Trends in Poisoning and Bites among Patients Referred to the Limbe Regional Hospital, South-West Cameroon”**

Submitted: 17 November 2020

Accepted: 15 March 2021

Published: 30 April 2021

Corresponding Author: Daniel Brice Nkontcheu Kenko

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n14p104

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title is clear and adequate. However, the authors should indicate the study design with a commonly used term in the title.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract provided an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The authors were able to explain the key elements of a retrospective study.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The discussion section is clear but the authors need to describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias, and discuss limitations of the study taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The conclusion is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

In-text citations are appropriate, and the reference list is correctly arranged according to APA 7th edition.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):