

Manuscript: “**Histopathology and Anticolon Cancer Effects of Turmeric Ethanolic Extracts in Wistar Rats**”

Submitted: 18 June 2019

Accepted: 18 December 2019

Published: 30 April 2021

Corresponding Author: Mac-Fiberesima, Gborieneomie

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n14p147

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Prof. Nnaemeka J.C. Okolie, Imo State University Owerri

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2019

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Prof. Nnaemeka J.C. Okolie	
University: Imo State University Owerri	
Date Manuscript Received: 13th september, 2019	Date Review Report Submitted: 9th October, 2019
Manuscript Title: HISTOPATHOLOGY AND ANTICOLON CANCER EFFECTS OF TURMERIC ETHANOLIC EXTRACTS IN WISTAR RATS	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0705/19	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the published version of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a brief explanation for each 3-less point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>It contains 11 words which is adequate and clearly captures the overall contents of the article.</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>Yes</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>Yes</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>Except with reference to initial treatment of groups 3 to 6 with Cadmium prior to treatment with extracts and conventional anti colon cancer therapy</i>	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	4
<i>Yes</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>Yes</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>In text citations of some references are not properly presented together</i>	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revisions needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Please revise the article contents effectively before forwarding it to the editor, as some sentences are ambiguous and/or incomplete as indicated in the review.