

Manuscript: “**Pesticides Ecotoxicological Risk Assessment for Surface Waters in the Cotton Growing Area Around the Bala’s Hippopotamus Pond Biosphere Using PIRI Method**”

Submitted: 05 December 2020

Accepted: 31 March 2021

Published: 30 April 2021

Corresponding Author: Bazoma Bayili

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n14p167

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Olivier Assoi Etchian, Nangui Abrogoua University

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Olivier Assoi ETCHIAN	
University/Country: Nangui Abrogoua University	
Date Manuscript Received: December 20, 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: January 10, 2021
Manuscript Title: Pesticides ecotoxicological risk assessment by PIRI for surface waters in the cotton growing area around the Bala's hippopotamus pond biosphere	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 85.12.2020	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
The abstract must show a brief overview of the issue, the objective and results of the study which are not found here. This section of the manuscript must be re-written.	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
The English language level of this paper must be reviewed to improve the manuscript	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
Usually, the references are listed in alphabetical order.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Pesticides residues are frequently found in the environment far from the original point of their application. Besides the desired effects of pest control, non-target organisms, soil and water are contaminated by the pesticides. This paper presents results on the impact of these xenobiotics used in cotton cultivation on River "Wolo" environment in Burkina Faso by using the Pesticide Impact Rating Index (PIRI) software package. The English language level of this paper must be reviewed to improve the manuscript. Some remarks and corrections are directly provided in the text. This article will be accepted for publication after the authors of this paper will take remarks and corrections into account.