

Manuscript: "Représentations sociales de la pollution de l'air intérieur et pratiques sociales des malades d'asthme de la commune de Yopougon (Côte d'Ivoire)"

Submitted: 24 February 2021 Accepted: 02 April 2021 Published: 30 April 2021

Corresponding Author: Kouadio Ahou Rosine

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n14p217

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Elena Hunt, Laurentian University, Canada

Reviewer 2: Adje N'goran Pascal, Université Felix Houphouet-Boigny, Côte d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Elena Hunt		
University/Country: Laurentian University, Canada		
Date Manuscript Received: March 3rd	Date Review Report Submitted: March 8th	
Manuscript Title: Représentations sociales de la pollution de l'air intérieur et pratiques sociales des malades d'asthme de la commune de Yopougon en Côte d'Ivoire		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0318.21		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	3

results.	
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
Veuillez recevoir mes commentaires dans le texte, avec la function Track Changes. Merci.



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: ADJE N'GORAN PASCAL		
University/Country: Université Felix Houphouet-Boigny (Côte d'Ivoire)		
Date Manuscript Received: 3 mars 2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 13 mars 2021	
Manuscript Title: Représentations sociales de la pollution de l'air intérieur et pratiques sociales des malades d'asthme de la commune de Yopougon (Côte d'Ivoire)		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0318/21		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
Sujet clair et original. Acceptable	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	4

results.	
Résumé acceptable. Les observations ont été prises en compte	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
Texte acceptable les fautes relevées dans la première correction compte	ont été prises en
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3,5
Les observations sont prises en compte. Toutefois, la justification	n du site d'étude
demeure imprecise. Donc elle est à revoir	
	4
demeure imprecise. Donc elle est à revoir	4
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. Acceptable 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. Acceptable 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	

$\textbf{Overall Recommendation} \ (\text{mark an } X \ \text{with your recommendation}) \ \vdots$

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

