

Manuscript: “**L'aménagement Écologique au Service de l'environnement : Cas de la Ville de Gabes et de M'Torrech (Sud Est Tunisien)**”

Submitted: 24 February 2021

Accepted: 21 April 2021

Published: 30 April 2021

Corresponding Author: Lahmar Karim

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n14p300

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Adéréwa A. M. Amontcha Yabi, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Benin

Reviewer 2: Gnamien Konan Bah Modeste, Jean Lorougnon Guede University, Cote d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Adéréwa A. M. AMONTCHA YABI	
University/Country:	
Date Manuscript Received: 29/03/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 08/04/2021
Manuscript Title : L'AMENAGEMENT ECOLOGIQUE AU SERVICE DE L'ENVIRONNEMENT : CAS DE LA VILLE DE GABES ET DE M'TORRECH (SUD EST TUNISIEN)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: ---19.03.2021---second decision from reviewer---1	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le choix des deux localités d'étude n'est pas cohérent	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les résultats n'y ont pas été résumé. Les éléments mis comme résultats n'en	

sont pas à vrai dire, des résultats.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Quelques défauts de sens demeurent dans le manuscrit	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
La justification du choix de la localité pour la proposition du plan d'aménagement paysager ne tient pas	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Le problème des localités d'étude entache les résultats	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Le titre est Claire et reflète le contenu de l'article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Le résumé doit clairement mentionnée la nature de l'étude (Qualitative, quantitative, mixte, ect...)

Ainsi que les outils de collecte utilisée et une synthèse des résultats obtenue.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Les erreurs grammaticales sont moindres

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Le cadre méthodologique mérite d'être étoffer. Le site de l'étude doit faire l'objet d'une brève description, l'échantillonnage, les personnes interrogées, les objectifs généraux et spécifiques de l'étude (Même s'ils sont abordés dans l'intro), les outils utilisés et les analyses effectuées. Même dans le cadre d'une recherche documentaire, quel est l'outil utilisé quels étaient les points recherchés.

Tous ses éléments doivent être expliqués et justifiés....

Aucun paragraphe ne fait mention des considération éthiques.....

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Le champs scientifique dans lequel s'insère l'article doit clairement apparaître dans l'introduction.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

La conclusion et le résumé reprennent fidèlement le contenu

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Les références sont compréhensives et appropriées

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
Prière étoffer le cadre méthodologique