

Manuscript: **“Attitudes Toward COVID-19 Lockdown As A Risk Predictor In Panama”**

Submitted: 03 February 2021

Accepted: 06 May 2021

Published: 31 May 2021

Corresponding Author: Jay Jesús Molino

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n15p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Audrey Tolouian, USA

Reviewer 3: Ana María Florez, Universidad Latina de Panama, Panama

Reviewer 4: Brilanda Lumanaj, University of Shkoder “Luigj Gurakuqi”, Shkoder, Albania

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Audrey Tolouian	
University/Country: USA	
Date Manuscript Received: Feb 10, 2021	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Attitudes toward COVID-19 lockdowns as a Risk Predictor in Panama	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 60.02.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes /No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Please proof read for capitalization	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The paper needs a good proof read	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Great use of seminal work</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Please see the paper for some suggestions- Interesting topic, I would develop the conclusion a bit more to include what the results might mean for Panama, just pull all of that good information together a bit more into a wrap up.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Ana María Florez	
University/Country: Universidad Latina de Panama / Panama	
Date Manuscript Received: 4 -02-21	Date Review Report Submitted: 11-02-21
Manuscript Title: Attitudes toward COVID-19 lockdowns as a Risk Predictor in Panama	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 60-02-2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes /No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>The title is clearly related and appropriate to the content, the article presents us with it from the perspective of social psychology the theory of attitudes (Ajzen and Fisbein), the title punctually refers to measures on the locking up of COVID-19 el as well as risk factors.</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>The abstract clearly presents the objective of the research to examine the attitudes towards confinement through COVID-19 as a predictor of risk psychosocial in Panama. It presents the scientific methodology of the work, the approach used, the psychometric characteristics of the study by Likert scale, the details regarding the collection of data by internet, and, the process of comparative analysis of media as well as significant correlation between risk factors and their results that show a significant correlation between factors and attitudes studied.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Found some space errors</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>The study clearly presents the instruments used, one corresponding to the sociodemographic evaluation and the other with the Likert scale, also the research present the psychometric basis with the statistical analyzes.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>The results are clearly supported with the collected data, its statistical and the psychometric method of study, tables and graphs as well as their analysis.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>The conclusion includes everything that is reflected in its content, highlighting the interest of social psychology. The research shows the responsibility of identifying the risks according to the measurements of attitudes towards the situation of Covid-19 in this region.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>The bibliographic consultation references, sources, contributions from other authors are clear and the majority of the bibliography is from 2000 are presented in the investigation, some for their meaning of classic exists which are some.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Interesting work that can be expanded to other investigations related or displayed from this investigatio