

Manuscript: **“Risk Assessment Providing Solid Grounds For Strategic Management In The Insurance Industry”**

**Submitted: 02 April 2021**

**Accepted: 04 May 2021**

**Published: 31 May 2021**

Corresponding Author: Tamara Kaftandzieva

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n15p38

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Berenyi Laszlo, University of Miskolc, Hungary

**Reviewer 2:** Blinded

**Reviewer 3:** Blinded

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

The title covers the content of the paper.	▲
	▼
◀	▶

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

The abstract does not really involve results, I

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

(Please insert your comments)

A grammar check and/or native proofreading

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

The study methods are properly chosen, the

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

Beyond the need for the grammar check, the

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

I found that the conclusion is acceptable. Our

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

I did not find missing references; the list and

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The paper is a good contribution to its field. I can recommend the publication after a gran