

Manuscript: **"Effect of an Aqueous Extract of Alchornea Cordifolia** (Euphorbiacea) Leaves on Sperm Parameters and Reproductive Function of Male Wistar Rats"

Submitted: 12 January 2021 Accepted: 19 March 2021 Published: 31 May 2021

Corresponding Author: Kone Allassane

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n17p236

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Valeria Alejandra Santa, Universidad Nacional de Rio Cuarto, Argentina

**Reviewer 2:** Pauline N. Iheagwam, Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Port Harcourt, Choba, Nigeria

# **ESJ** Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- 🖲 Yes
- <sup>O</sup> No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- \*
- 🖲 Yes
- <sup>O</sup> No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- \*
- 🕨 🄍 Yes
- No No

#### The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

\*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes the title is clear and adequate	4
	-
•	•

#### The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

\*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes, the abstract clearly presents all sections	
	-
4	

#### There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.


(Please insert your comments)

There are a fev	v grammatical errors which I h
	-

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

\*


(Please insert your comments)



#### The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

\*


(Please insert your comments)



The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

\*

(Please insert your comments)




The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)



#### Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- \*
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • •

#### Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- \*
- ° 1
- ° 2
- ° 3
- • 4
- ° 5

#### Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- \*
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4

• • 5

#### Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- \*
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

# Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- \*
- • 1
- ° 2
- ° 3
- • 4
- ° 5

#### Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- \*
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

#### Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- ° 1
- ° 2
- ° 3
- . . .
- • 4
- 0 5

#### **Overall Recommendation!!!**

- \*
- C Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- C Return for major revision and resubmission
- C Reject

#### Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

# **ESJ** Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!* 

Reviewer Name: Ana María Florez

University/Country: Universidad Latina de Panama / Panama

Date Manuscript Received:4 -02-21	Date Review Report Submitted: 11-02-21			
Manuscript Title: Attitudes toward COVID-19 lockdowns as a Risk Predictor in Panama				
ESJ Manuscript Number: 60-02-2021				
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes /No				
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b> /No				
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No				
Tou approve, this review report is available in the review instory of the paper. Tes/NO				

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

# Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] <b>1-5</b> [Excellent]
<b>1.</b> The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
The title is clearly related and appropriate to the content, the of with it from the perspective of social psychology the theory of Fisbein), the title punctually refers to measures on the locking as well as risk factors.	attitudes (Ajzen and
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
The abstract clearly presents the objective of the research to e towards confinement through COVID-19 as a predictor of risk Panama. It presents the scientific methodology of the work, the psychometric characteristics of the study by Likert scale, the collection of data by internet, and, the process of comparative well as significant correlation between risk factors and their r significant correlation between factors and attitudes studied.	c psychosocial in e approach used, the details regarding the analysis of media as
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
Found some space errors	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
The study clearly presents the instruments used, one corresponse sociodemographic evaluation and the other with the Likert sca present the psychometric basis with the statistical analyzes.	

5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
The results are clearly supported with the collected data, its supported with the collected data, its support psychometric method of study, tables and graphs as well as the	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
The conclusion includes everything that is reflected in its cont interest of social psychology. The research shows the response the risks according to the measurements of attitudes towards t 19 in this region.	bility of identifying
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
The bibliographic consultation references, sources, contributi authors are clear and the majority of the bibliography is from the investigation, some for their meaning of classic exists which	2000 are presented in

## **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Interesting work that can be expanded to other investigations related or displayed from this investigatio

