

Manuscript: “**Utilisation des lambeaux dans les pertes de substances maxillo-faciales: à propos de 32 cas au Niger**”

**Submitted: 06 April 2021**

**Accepted: 11 May 2021**

**Published: 31 May 2021**

Corresponding Author: Kadre Alio Kadre Ousmane

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n17p306

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Mahamane Rhissa, Niger

**Reviewer 2:** Pr.Alpha Seydou Yaro, Mali

**Reviewer 3:** Choua Ouchemi, University of N’Djamena, Chad

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Mahamane Rhissa	Email:
University/Country: Niger	
Date Manuscript Received: 10/04/2021	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title:	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0462/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: NO	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>1,5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>2,5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	<b>X</b>
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: <i>Pr Alpha Seydou YARO</i>	
University/Country: <i>MALI</i>	
Date Manuscript Received: <i>April 20, 2021</i>	Date Review Report Submitted: <i>April 24, 2021</i>
Manuscript Title: <i>UTILISATION DES LAMBEAUX DANS LES PERTES DE SUBSTANCES MAXILLO-FACIALES : À PROPOS DE 32 CAS AU NIGER</i>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: <i>ESJ....62.04.2021</i>	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <i>YES</i>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <i>YES</i>	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <i>YES</i>	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>2.5</b>
<i>(Le titre est assez clair mais, la façon de lister les auteurs et leur affiliation n'est pas conforme aux instructions du guide aux auteurs de ESJ. Il faut donc relire le guide et se conformer aux instructions.</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>2.5</b>

*Le résumé doit commencer par une brève introduction qui ne ressort pas ici. Il faut donc mettre une introduction puis préciser le but.*

*Pas nécessaires de spécifier les différentes partie d'un résumé dans le corps du texte, car les différentes parties se définissent d-elles-mêmes.*

*En français les mois sont écrits avec la première lettre en minuscule ex : janvier au lieu de Janvier*

*Dans le texte, n'utilisez pas la première personne, c'est-à-dire "je", "nous", "mon", "nos", \*notre\*, etc.*

*Il faut reformuler la conclusion*

*Dans les mots clés : insérer le nom du pays dans lequel l'étude a été faite.*

**3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

**4**

*L'introduction est très brève, elle ne justifie pas beaucoup l'intérêt ou la nécessité de s'attaquer au problème.  
Les objectifs de l'étude doivent être rappelés en fin d'introduction même si le but est précisé dans le résumé.*

**4. The study methods are explained clearly.**

**1**

*La méthodologie n'a pratiquement pas été traitée.*

- *Elle est pauvre en information et n'explique pas comment les auteurs ont procédé pour parvenir aux résultats.*
- *Les critères d'inclusion et de non inclusion ne sont pas connus,*
- *Le plateau technique, les compétences de l'équipe ayant faite la prise en charge ne sont pas évoquées,*
- *Aucune explication n'est donnée sur l'organisation du travail,*
- *Les considérations éthiques ont-elles été respectées ? si oui comment ?*
- *Etc.*

**5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.**

**3**

*Les résultats sont clairs, mais Les raisons pouvant être à l'origine des différents type de lambeaux peuvent être rappelés dans la discussion*

**6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.**

**2**

*La première phrase de la conclusion ne semble pas être pertinente. Une reformulation est nécessaire.*

**7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.**

**3**

*Organiser les Références par ordre alphabétique. Le mot Références est préférable au mot Bibliographie*

--

## Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

*Les auteurs doivent faire une correction importante du document avant d'envoyer une autre version.*



## ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Choua Ouchemi	Email:
University/Country: University of N'Djamena, CHAD	
Date Manuscript Received: 04-17-21	Date Review Report Submitted: 04-26-21
Manuscript Title: <b>UTILISATION DES LAMBEAUX DANS LES PERTES DE SUBSTANCES MAXILLO-FACIALES : À PROPOS DE 32 CAS AU NIGER</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 62.04.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
------------------	----------------------

	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The title of the manuscript is clear and adequate to the content of the article.</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Yes, the abstract clearly presents objects methods and results.</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The study methods are explained clearly.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The results are clear and not contain errors.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>x</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Minor revision is needed. In material-methods and results sections, you may use the *passé composé* for descriptions (*imparfait* or *passé composé*). Please make attention to not describe the results in the tables also in the text (avoid repetitions!)

