

Manuscript: “**Intérêt de la culture associée légumineuses-maïs et stratégie de résilience face à la disponibilité en terre cultivable : le cas de la ferme agricole de Kafigué dans département de Korhogo (Nord de la Côte d’Ivoire)**”

Submitted: 06 April 2021

Accepted: 11 May 2021

Published: 31 May 2021

Corresponding Author: Kouakou Konan Elie

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n17p318

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Tra Serge Doubi, Centre National de Recherche Agronomique, Abidjan

Reviewer 2: Kouakou Kouassi Josselin, Département de pédologie et Minéralogie des sols, UFR des Sciences de la Terre et des Ressources Minières, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer 3: Gnenemon Tuo, Université Alassane Ouattara (UAO) de Bouaké, Côte d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes the abstract clearly present methods and

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

the methodology needs to be more detailed

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the paper contain some errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

the conclusion is too general. It does not brie

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5


Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Avoid repetition of sentences. Be brief and c



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Le titre cadre bien avec le contenu de l'article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

dans la structuration du résumé, l'objectif n'a

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

les erreurs sont d'une rare exception.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Les méthodes de l'étude sont expliquées clai

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Il y a de légères confusions qu'il conviendrait

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Dans l'ensemble la conclusion et le résumé s

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

la liste de référence est appropriée

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

pas de commentaire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:


*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)



Le titre n'est pas suffisamment clair. A cet eff

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

L'objectif de l'étude n'est pas clairement défini

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Quelques erreurs grammaticales et d'orthographe

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Vous pouvez mieux mettre en évidence la méthode

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Le corps du document me semble un peu clair

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

La conclusion me semble conforme au contenu

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Il vous faut revoir le titre, le résumé, et surtout

