

Manuscript: "Blood Safety: On-Site Verification Of The Screening Of HIV, HBV And HCV By Enzyme Linked Immuno-Sorbent Assay (ELISA) Kits From Bio-Rad Laboratories At The "Centre National De Transfusion Sanguine" (CNTS) Of Lomé In Togo"

Submitted: 22 February 2021

Accepted: 19 May 2021 Published: 30 June 2021

Corresponding Author: Christèle Nguepou Tchopba

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n21p92

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mihnea-Alexandru Gaman, University of Medicine and Pharmacy,

Romania

Reviewer 2: Luis Aliaga, University of Granada, Spain

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

•	•	Yes

\cap		
1	N	റ

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

(Ves

O No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

Yes

• [©] No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

•

(Please insert your comments)

Yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Yes, only minor mistakes that can be corrected using the free version of Grammarly

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes, although I believe the authors should also discuss epidemiological data regarding HIV, HCV and HBV in Togo and why it is important to screen blood products for these viruses. In addition, please ensure that your paper adheres to the corresponding EQUATOR network guidelines: equator-network.org

Also, what is the novelty of your paper? Aren't the specificities etc of these tests already known?

Was ethical approval sought for this study? Did the subjects whose blood samples you employed give their informed consent to partake in this research?

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

(Please insert your comments)

Yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa. (Please insert your comments)

Please revise the references to match the style of the journal

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- . 0 1
- ° 2
- 🖰 3
- 🖲 4
- . 0 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 0 1
- **.** 0 2
- Оз
- . .
- . 0 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 🖰 1
- . O 2
- 🖰 3
- 0 4
- . 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- **O** 1
- **.** 0 2
- [©] 3

	(4
•	0	4 5
•		ase rate the BODY of this paper.
		or] 1-5 [Excellent]
	*	
•	0	1
•	0	2
•	•	3
•	0	4
•	0	3
		ase rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
	[P0	oor] 1-5 [Excellent]
•	0	1
•	0	2
•	0	3
•	•	4
•	0	5
	Ple	ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
		or] 1-5 [Excellent]
	*	
•		1
•	0	2
•	•	3
•	0	4
•	0 0	5 erall Recommendation!!!
	*	eran Recommendation:::
•	\circ	Accepted, no revision needed
•	•	Accepted, minor revision needed
•	\circ	Return for major revision and resubmission
•	0	Reject
		mments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
	A	s discussed above.

1) YEARS