

### 

Manuscript: "Preventive Measures Against The Vectors Of Malaria In Akanda, Southwest Gabon: Knowledge, Attitudes, Practices, And Beliefs"

Submitted: 05 August 2020 Accepted: 27 May 2021 Published: 30 June 2021

Corresponding Author: Silas Lendzele Sevidzem,

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n21p169

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Devang Upadhyay, The University of North Carolina at Pembroke, NC, USA

## **ESJ** Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!* 

Reviewer Name: Devang Upadhyay			
University/Country: The University of North Carolina at Pembroke, NC, USA			
Date Manuscript Received: Aug 19, 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: Aug 28, 2020		
Manuscript Title: Preventive Measures against Vectors of Malaria: Knowledge, Attitudes, Practices, and Beliefs in Akanda, South-west of Gabon			
ESJ Manuscript Number: 71.08.2020			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: YES			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: YES You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: YES			

# Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]	
<b>1.</b> The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4	
The title content is clear, but it would be sound better if it like this "Preventive Measures against Vectors of Malaria in Akanda, South-west of Gabon: Knowledge, Attitudes, Practices, and Beliefs"		
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4	

Abstract format is different from the manuscripts I used to rec Nothing wrong with this submitted abstract as it has all the re- the study comprehensively. I do not know if ESJ has changed to please verify it.	quired information of
<b>3.</b> There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
The manuscript requires one more time proofreading as it has and several grammatical errors, like punctuation in compound text inconsistency, and improper formatting.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
The methods are explained well. It provides enough information methods, quaternary, and statistical methods used for this sur-	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
In the results section, Tables 1, 2, and 4 could be presented we would suggest doing those changes. Table 3 is fine. Overall survey study finding is discussed well.	ell graphically, and I
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
Conclusion narratively addresses the issue well and summarie future application of study appropriately.	es the outcome and
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
Updated research articles are referred and cited well	

#### **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):** Just convert those suggested tables 1, 2, and 4 in a graphical format, and one more proofreading is required.

