

Manuscript: **“Prevalence Des Maladies Stomatologiques En Milieu Cardiologique Dans Les Hopitaux Nationaux De Niamey”**

**Submitted: 27 January 2021**

**Accepted: 05 April 2021**

**Published: 30 June 2021**

Corresponding Author: Moussa Mahamane Rhissa

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n21p180

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Babacar Tamba, Cheik Anta Diop Dakar, Senegal

**Reviewer 2:** Fousseny Diakite, UGANC

**Reviewer 3:** El Ghazi Ibrahim, Université Moulay Ismail, Maroc

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Reviewer Name: Babacar Tamba  |  |
| University/Country: Cheik Anta Diop Dakar / Senegal   |  |
| Date Manuscript Received: 16/03/21  | Date Review Report Submitted: 17/03/21 |
| Manuscript Title: INTER-RELATION DES MALADIES STOMATOLOGIQUES ET CARDIOVASCULAIRES DANS LES HOPITAUX NATIONAUX DE NIAMEY A PROPOS DE 104 CAS. |  |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 38/02/2021   |  |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes   |  |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes                                    |  |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes  |  |

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

| <i>Questions</i>   | <i>Rating Result</i><br>[Poor] 1-5<br>[Excellent] |
|--|---|
| <b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>   | <b>2</b>  |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i><br><b>Affections buccodentaires et maladies cardiovasculaires à Niamey: à propos de 104 cas</b> |   |

|   |          |
|---|----------|
| <b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>             | <b>3</b> |
| <i>Mettre les variables pour la méthodologie</i>                                  |          |
| <b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b> | <b>4</b> |
| <i>Satisfaisant</i>   |          |
| <b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>                                | <b>2</b> |
| <i>Mettre variables de l'étude, procédures de collecte et analyse des données</i> |          |
| <b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>                        | <b>2</b> |
| <i>Pas structures avec des sous chapitres</i>                                     |          |
| <b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>   | <b>4</b> |
| <i>OUI</i>  |          |
| <b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>                       | <b>4</b> |
| <i>OUI</i>  |          |

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed               |          |
| Accepted, minor revision needed            | <b>X</b> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission |          |
| Reject                                     |          |

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Reviewer Name: EL GHAZI IBRAHIM   |  |
| University/Country: Université Moulay Ismail Maroc  |  |
| Date Manuscript Received: 18/03/2021  | Date Review Report Submitted: 28/03/2021 |
| Manuscript Title : INTER-RELATION DES MALADIES STOMATOLOGIQUES ET CARDIOVASCULAIRES DANS LES HOPITAUX NATIONAUX DE NIAMEY A PROPOS DE 104 CAS |  |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: <b>38.02.2021</b>  |  |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes   |  |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes                                    |  |
| You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes  |  |

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

| <i>Questions</i>   | <i>Rating Result</i><br>[Poor] 1-5<br>[Excellent] |
|--|---|
| <b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b> | <b>2,5</b>  |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>   |   |
| <b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>          | <b>2</b>  |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |            |
| <b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b> | <b>3</b>   |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |            |
| <b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>                                | <b>2,5</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |            |
| <b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>                        | <b>2,5</b> |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |            |
| <b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>   | <b>2</b>   |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |            |
| <b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>                       | <b>2</b>   |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>  |            |

**Overall Recommendation**(mark an X with your recommendation) :

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed               | <b>X</b> |
| Accepted, minor revision needed            |          |
| Return for major revision and resubmission |          |
| Reject                                     |          |

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**