

Manuscript: “**Indices De Minéralisations En Or Dans La Province Panafricaine Du Sud Maradi (Sud Niger)**”

Submitted: 12 May 2021

Accepted: 01 June 2021

Published: 30 June 2021

Corresponding Author: Souley Baraou Idi

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n21p238

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Aboubakar Sidik Ouattara, Université Nangui Abrogoua, Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Fatiha Hadach, Cadi Ayyad University, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia, Marrakesh, Morocco

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Aboubakar Sidik OUATTARA	
University/Country: Université Nangui Abrogoua, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 14/05/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 17/05/2021
Manuscript Title: Occurrences of gold mineralization in South Maradi Pan-African province (South Niger)	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

The title is clear	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
The abstract clearly presents	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
There are few grammatical errors	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
The study methods are explained clearly	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
The results are clear	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
(The conclusion is clear	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
The references are comprehensive	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
Good job

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	
University/Country: Cadi Ayyad university, faculty of sciences Semlalia, Marrakesh, Morocco	
Date Manuscript Received: May 13th 2021	Date Review Report Submitted: May 20th 2021
Manuscript Title: Indices de minéralisations en or dans la province panafricaine du Sud Maradi (Sud Niger)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0588/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>The title is informative and a true reflection of the content</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>The abstract is written clearly</i>	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>A few spelling mistakes for example:</i> - grès du crétacés - secteur anomal (Figure 8)?	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>Yes, the research methodology is clearly described</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>Very Clear</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>YES</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>Some references are incomplete.(should be included in reference list), for example:</i> <i>Garba, 2000</i> <i>Wright et al., 1985</i> <i>McCaig, 1997</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Il faut ajouter:

- Figure. 3 à légènder
- Figure. 6 légènder les 2 photos, pour (b) ajouter une échelle
- Figure.7 Grès conglomératiques **du** Crétacés-, dans le même sens donner les âges des autres roches.
- Figure. 8 (Page 15) mettre localité ou point repère, les 2 flèches NE et SO traduisent 2 orientations différentes (dans le texte vous en citer une seule)
- Figure.9 Remplacer figure 8 (Page 15) par figure 9.
- Ajouter quelques référence vers la fin tels que: *Garba, 2000; Wright et al., 1985 et McCaig, 1997.*

• **Dc. Fatiha HADACH**