

Manuscript: “Consumo De Drogas Y Estrés En Estudiantes De Medicina De La Ciudad De Querétaro, México”

Submitted: 17 March 2021

Accepted: 29 May 2021

Published: 30 June 2021

Corresponding Author: Ruth Magdalena Gallegos Torres

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n21p366

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Dra. María Pilar Cáceres Reche, Facultad de Ciencias de la Educación, Universidad de Granada, España

Reviewer 4: Rosa María Serrano Pastor, University of Zaragoza, Spain

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| | |
|---|--------|
| Reviewer Name: | Email: |
| University/Country: | |
| | |
| Manuscript Title: Drug consumption and stress in health students | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 41552-1 | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No | |
| You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes | |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i> | <i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|--|---|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 5 |
| <i>El título es claro con el número de palabras adecuado pero se sugiere: Consumo de drogas y estrés académico en estudiantes de medicina. ya que no incluye otras carreras del área.</i> | |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 5 |
| <i>El resumen es claro solo le falta enfoque y diseño lo demás está completo, tiene introducción objetivo metodología resultados y conclusiones.</i> | |

| | |
|---|----------|
| | |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 4 |
| <i>La redacción es muy buena solo algunos acentos y gerundios, puntuaciones comas algunos ya corregidos.</i> | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 4 |
| <i>Falta enfoque, que es cuantitativo, el diseño descriptivo, de tipo trasversal, el margen de error da 101% en el primer instrumento se debe mencionar la validez del mismo, se supone que si pero es importante puntualizarlo, lo demás está correcto, se sugiere colocar las secciones en cuadro para mayor visualización.</i> | |
| 5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. | 4 |
| <i>Existen algunos errores de redacción se realizaron alguna sugerencias y adecuaciones sobre todo en las citas bibliográficas revisar según versión del APA utilizado.</i> | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 5 |
| <i>Es pertinente al tema se eliminó una cita bibliográfica considero que ya no aplica. Solo falta un párrafo respecto al conocimiento que aporta a la disciplina de enfermería puesto que es realizado por enfermeras.</i> | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 4 |
| <i>Las referencias completas ,algunas con más de 10 años y nada más verificar si todas se encuentra en el texto</i> | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed | |
| Accepted, minor revision needed | X |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Considero buen artículo buena redacción, en el documento se realizaron adecuaciones y falta definir el concepto de drogas ilícitas, revisar puntuación y la bibliografía dentro del texto: comas paréntesis Y/& unificar. y verificar si todas las citas del texto están en las referencias.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title would need to be more concrete as specifying the context, university, city, country where the study has been carried out.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

It is very clear and well organized, considering all the most important aspects of the paper.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

They have not been shown.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes. The only recommendation to add it is organizing the information in an internal way, giving numbers of different sections as main ideas and secondary concepts such as 3. method design, later, 3.1. sampling, 3.2. tools to name but a few. The same aspect happens to the theoretical part, so it would be very relevant to reorganizing all the information in a logical view with numeration.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

It is appropriated considering the comments before. On the other hand, there are some points would be necessary to improve them:

- Describing the researching problem and general and specific aims of the study.
- Results. Once the aims have been described it would be so handfull and significant to consider them to show the data in a logical order, more comprehensive. Moreover, the graphics would be better to add between the text, to be more understandable in a visual way.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Conclusions as well as results would be very necessary to contemplate the previous aims of the study in order to determine the level of achievement.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

It seems that all the list of references have been included in text but it would be necessary to improve the citation according to APA rules:

- Updating the references at least 30% of them should belong to three last years
- Establishing the dates in a correct order.
- Putting in italics the most relevant of information such as the title of the journals, books and so on.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed

- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The paper is well organized, structures and focuses on a relevant and interesting topic but it would be necessary to improve different aspects about format (APA rules), and the structure (numeration and delimiting sections) and describing the researching problem and general and specific aims, in relation to results and conclusions.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Es claro y adecuado

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

No presentar porcentajes en el resumen sino los resultados más importantes. Revisar las conclusiones: De los datos obtenidos no se puede derivar que haya relación directa entre el consumo de drogas y los estudios en la carrera. Sería necesario incluir algún otro instrumento que estudiara dicha relación o, al menos, hacer un mayor énfasis en discusión y conclusiones en este aspecto, resaltando la necesidad de estudiar previamente no mayor detenimiento la posible relación o no, antes de elaborar ningún programa

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Hay algunos errores que han sido marcados en el documento. Igualmente se sugiere revisar la distribución en párrafos para integrar en un mismo párrafo ideas similares

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Sí

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

El documento es claro en su redacción. Se aconseja incluir tablas con los resultados. Sin embargo, es necesario tener en cuenta que de los datos obtenidos no se puede derivar que haya relación directa entre el consumo de drogas y los estudios en la carrera. Sería necesario incluir algún otro instrumento que estudiara dicha relación o, al menos, hacer un mayor énfasis en discusión y conclusiones en este aspecto, resaltando la necesidad de estudiar previamente con mayor detenimiento la posible relación o no, antes de elaborar ningún programa

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Debe revisarse para plasmar mejor la debilidad del estudio en torno a establecer relaciones entre el consumo de drogas y los problemas derivados de los estudios universitarios

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Es necesario revisar en profundidad tanto la citación a lo largo del texto como las referencias bibliográficas recogidas al final del mismo ya que contienen numerosas erratas: Inicial mayúscula de nombre seguida de punto, cursiva cuando es necesario, año entre paréntesis tras autores... Se solicita una mejora exhaustiva.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Se solicita especialmente:

- Citación y referenciación bibliográfica necesita una revisión exhaustiva
- No existe relación entre los dos instrumentos utilizados para la recogida de los datos.

De los datos obtenidos no se puede derivar que haya relación directa entre el consumo de drogas y los estudios en la carrera. Sería necesario incluir algún otro instrumento que estudiara dicha relación o, al menos, hacer un mayor énfasis en discusión y conclusiones en este aspecto, resaltando la necesidad de estudiar previamente con mayor detenimiento la posible relación o no, antes de elaborar ningún programa.

- Se adjunta el documento con indicaciones que se espera ayuden a mejorar el artículo.