

Manuscript: “**The Impact of Exchange Rate on Ethiopia’s Coffee Export**”

Submitted: 22 February 2021

Accepted: 02 July 2021

Published: 31 July 2021

Corresponding Author: Solomon Tewelde Argaie

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n23p27

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Désiré Ntirabampa

Department of Finance and Accounting, University of Nairobi, Kenya

Reviewer 2: Kazimierz Albin Klosinski

John Paul II Catholic University of Lublin, Poland

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title should be addressed as: The Impact of Exchange rate on the Ethiopia's Coffee Export.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract is OK

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Comments on the study methods are shown in the document

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

comments are in the DOC.

in fact the research problem needs to be shown clearly (see comments in the DOC attached)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

comments on the conclusion part are highlighted in the document:

the conclusion should say on the study aim, methodology, results found, critics, and recommendations for further research

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

the references part is OK

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the *BODY* of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the *CONCLUSION* of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the *REFERENCES* of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The author should go through the DOC attached and work on the new comments

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title is clear

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

In equations (1) - (10), placed in numerical dimensions, I propose the relation "equality" (=). The used in the text the relation "equivalence" wake the surprise. The surprise wakes - also - position of equation (5). We enumerate to keep the order. B, p, X, M require introduction.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)
are explained clearly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)
see remarks above

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)
is accurate

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.
(Please insert your comments)
14 position in the literature

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed

- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):