

Manuscript: “**Enhancing Tax Administration to Micro Businesses Through Digital Technology: An Exploratory Study in Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania**”

**Submitted: 13 May 2021**

**Accepted: 24 June 2021**

**Published: 31 July 2021**

Corresponding Author: William Clifford. Gomera

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n23p39

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Valentin Marian Antohi  
University Dunarea de Jos of Galati, Romania

**Reviewer 2:** Imam Mukhlis  
Faculty of Economics, State University of Malang, Indonesia

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

The title of the article is clear, as well as adequate to the content and the research presented in the article.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

The abstract does point to some objectives, but these are not clearly stated. The abstract presents the research method the authors used, which is based on interviews and questionnaires. The abstract ends with the clear presentation of the findings resulted from the research.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

*(Please insert your comments)*

English language could be improved, there are a few errors present in the text.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Research methodology should be extended, as it is not sufficiently presented. As a consequence, the link between methodology and results is missing.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

The structure of the article complies with the requirements for writing a scientific article.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

The results of the study are not presented in the conclusion. The limitations of the study are also missing from the conclusion chapter.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

The sources are adequate but are not up-to-date. It is recommended the use of more recent sources.

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Please rate the *BODY* of this paper.**

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Please rate the *CONCLUSION* of this paper.**

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Please rate the *REFERENCES* of this paper.**

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

## ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Title of this manuscripts is clear and easy to understand the problems

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Abstract have containt problems, method , result and discussion, conclusison and suggestion. Author write the abstract well

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

*(Please insert your comments)*

There were goog gramatical and there were not mistakes

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

There were mixed method. This method was appropriate to solve the problems

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

There were a good paper and proper.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

Conclusion relevant with the research problems, accurate and supported the content

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

There were current references, updated and relevant with the topics

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Please rate the *BODY* of this paper.**

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Please rate the *CONCLUSION* of this paper.**

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Please rate the *REFERENCES* of this paper.**

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Please complete the suggestion and submit the manuscripts