

Manuscript: “**Las TIC y el e-learning y su influencia en el proceso de enseñanza aprendizaje del idioma Inglés en estudiantes de educación superior**”

Submitted: 20 June 2021

Accepted: 22 July 2021

Published: 31 July 2021

Corresponding Author: Silvania Gabriela Herrera Martinez

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n23p96

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: John Tobar Litardo
Instituto Superior Tecnológico JBA

Reviewer 2: Deysi Damián Tixi
Escuela Superior Politécnica de Chimborazo, Ecuador

Reviewer 3: Marcel Oswaldo Méndez Mantuano
Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: John Tobar Litardo	
University/Country: Instituto Superior Tecnológico JBA	
Date Manuscript Received: 26/5/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/5/2021
Manuscript Title: <i>LAS TIC Y EL E-LEARNING Y SU INFLUENCIA EN EL PROCESO DE ENSEÑANZA APRENDIZAJE DEL IDIOMA INGLÉS EN ESTUDIANTES DE EDUCACIÓN SUPERIOR</i>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0708/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	5

results.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Gentlemen authors, your research has a quality investigative depth.

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Deysi Damián Tixi	
University/Country: Escuela Superior Politécnica de Chimborazo / Ecuador	
Date Manuscript Received: 30/6/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 01/7/2021
Manuscript Title: Las tic y el e-learning y su influencia en el proceso de enseñanza aprendizaje del idioma inglés en estudiantes de educación superior	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0708/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Please carefully review the journal format in terms of writing</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Dear authors, the content of your paper is of interest. However, there are aspects of form and writing that must be corrected, reviewing the document in which the observations to be made are indicated.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Marcel Oswaldo Méndez Mantuano	Email:
University/Country: Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre	
Date Manuscript Received: 30 de junio del 2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 10 de julio del 2021
Manuscript Title: LAS TIC Y EL E-LEARNING Y SU INFLUENCIA EN EL PROCESO DE ENSEÑANZA APRENDIZAJE DEL IDIOMA INGLÉS EN ESTUDIANTES DE EDUCACIÓN SUPERIOR	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 08.07.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
Es claro el título, sin embargo, no existe una determinación específica de la influencia de las TIC y el e-learning en el proceso de enseñanza/aprendizaje en los resultados. Dentro del documento se detalla una encuesta, pero no se la integra a la determinación de la influencia. La Introducción no es parte de la determinación de esta, ya que la influencia debe estar como un resultado.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and	2

results.	
En el resumen se menciona que el trabajo es conceptual, en otras palabras, netamente bibliográfico, no obstante, no se entiende el motivo de las encuestas. Lo lógico sería realizar las encuestas y en base al análisis de estas realizar la determinación de la influencia de los elementos abordados para el caso de la institución objeto de estudio. Dentro del resumen existe copia textual de otro artículo realizado en Colombia, mientras que esta investigación fue realizada en Ecuador.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
Existen varios errores que se encuentran indicados dentro del documento revisado.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2,5
Dentro de la metodología se confunde la población con la muestra, no se menciona la fecha en la que se realizó la encuesta, no se menciona como se la realizó (se asume que de manera virtual por la pandemia), no se detallan las preguntas realizadas, tampoco la sectorización de la muestra.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
Se detalla un análisis de las encuestas, pero no como estas aportan al resultado principal del tema que es: “la influencia de las TIC y el e-learning en el proceso de enseñanza y aprendizaje”.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2,5
En el último párrafo de la introducción se debe detallar los objetivos que abordará la investigación. Se debe simplificar la introducción y eliminar subtítulos o agruparlos en temas comunes, ya que existen demasiados subtítulos.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
La mayoría de las referencias indican que proviene de artículos científicos, sin embargo, son de informes o de tesis, para tesis se debe escoger la opción de “varios”. En las citas de artículos se debe incluir el volumen, el número (de existir) y el doi o url (link). Existen 40 citas que aparecen en la parte final del documento, sin embargo, no se encuentran mencionadas en ningún párrafo del mismo. Únicamente anotar las citas que se encuentran referenciadas.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Es un buen tema, pero debe mejorar ciertos elementos para que cumpla con las normas de una investigación con relevancia académica y científica. Éxitos.