EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

Manuscript: **"The Prevention and Reduction of the Bullwhip Effect by Electronic Data Interchange and Collaborative Forecasting"**

Submitted: 26 April 2021 Accepted: 01 July 2021 Published: 31 July 2021

Corresponding Author: Bahija Jardini

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n23p163

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mustafa Emre Civelek Turkey

Reviewer 2: Hafida Bouloiz Ibn Zohr University Morocco

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Mustafa Emre Civelek		
University/Country: Turkey		
Date Manuscript Received: 04.05.2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 06.05.2021	
Manuscript Title: The Prevention And Reduction Of The Bullwhip Effect By Electronic Data Interchange And Collaborative Forecasting		
ESJ Manuscript Number:		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
Yes	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
Yes	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
No	·
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
Yes	
	1
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
Yes	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
Yes	•
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
Yes	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	Yes
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

In the conclusion section, blockchain technology should be mentioned in terms of its potential to replace EDI



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Hafida Bouloiz		
University/Country: Ibn Zohr University Morocco		
Date Manuscript Received: 4 may 2021	Date Review Report Submitted:	
Manuscript Title: THE PREVENTION AND REDUCTION OF THE BULLWHIP EFFECT BY ELECTRONIC DATA INTERCHANGE AND COLLABORATIVE FORECASTING		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0536/21		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes		

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
(Please insert your comments)	

4
3
4
4
4

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	Х
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Interesting subject studied in a sharp field

