

**Manuscript: "Revue de Litterature du Concept « Performance Logistique » : Un
Essai de Synthese"**

Submitted: 11 June 2021

Accepted: 05 July 2021

Published: 31 July 2021

Corresponding Author: Alae El Bakkouri

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n23p210

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Nabaoui Ahmed

Hassan II, Maroc

Reviewer 2: M. Said Kammas

Développement Durable et RSE à l'Université Paul Valéry Montpellier III, UFR « Sciences économiques, Mathématiques et sociales», France Enseignant en Management de Transport & Logistique, Qualité et Développement durable

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Nabaoui Ahmed	
University/Country: hassan II/ Maroc	
Date Manuscript Received: 21/06/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 27/06/2021
Manuscript Title: Performance logistique	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	5
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	5

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> some textual authors do not appear in the bibliography. the bibliography does not comply with the APA standard	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
 - No
- You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:
- *
- Yes
 - No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

A valoriser le titre, par exemple :

- Revue de littérature du concept « performance logistique » : Un essai de synthèse ou
- Revue de littérature du concept « performance logistique » : Un essai de cerner l'essentiel

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Rien à signaler

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

- 1) Page : 9, Faute de forme, La phrase (La livraison au lieu désign***e*** par le client), correction (La livraison au lieu désigné par le client)
- 2) Page : 15, Faute de forme, La phrase (performance ***est*** influence significativement), Correction à supprimer "est", soit (performance influence significativement),

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Il y a plusieurs définitions, indicateurs et modèles d'évaluation de la performance logistique

L'auteur est amené à éclaircir ses critères de choix des propos susmentionnés; Entre autres critères :

- l'adoption et la promotion des propos par les organismes et personnes éminentes dans le domaine de recherche,
- propos validés par des auteurs à travers des études et enquêtes fiables,
- adoption des propos récents - courant des deux dernières décennies - vu la modernisation, digitalisation et mondialisation des concepts et pratiques...

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Rien à signaler

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Rien à signaler

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

- Les sources bibliographiques me semblent riches et nombreux
- Après un contrôle par échantillonnage des sources bibliographiques ; La référence ci-après ne figure nulle part dans le corps de l'article :
Shepherd, C., et Guenter, H. (2006). Measuring Supply Chain Performance : Current Research and Future Directions. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management. 55. 242-258. 10.1108/17410400610653219.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- A valoriser le titre selon les propositions ;
- A éclaircir les critères de choix des définitions, indicateurs et modèles d'évaluation de la performance logistique, l'objet de l'article
- A corriger les petites erreurs d'orthographies ;
- A affecter la référence bibliographique susmentionnée dans son texte correspondant dans le corps de l'article.