

Manuscript: “**Investigation Of Fiber Length Change In Different Stages Of Ring Spinning Process**”

**Submitted: 01 July 2021**

**Accepted: 18 July 2021**

**Published: 31 July 2021**

Corresponding Author: Md. Rubel Khan

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n25p66

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Mahbubul Haque, Daffodil International University, Bangladesh

**Reviewer 2:** Toufiqa Siddiqua, Department of Yarn Engineering, Bangladesh University of Textiles, Dhaka, Bangladesh

**Reviewer 3:** Prof. Dr. Hosne Ara Begum, Bangladesh

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Investigation on Change of Fiber Length at various Stages of Ring Spinning Process

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

It is necessary to revise considering both content as well as grammatical matters. The author (s) has/have to answer What was done? How was done and What was the outcome?

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

*(Please insert your comments)*

Yes, the paper has been written in present tense but it should be is past tense and there are grammatical errors in it.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Method of work is clear

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Clear

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\*

(Please insert your comments)

Need to revise content wise as well as grammatical matters

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Too many references were used some of them are very old. Lots of references were referred together. I think last five-seven year's references would be perfect.

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Please rate the *BODY* of this paper.**

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Please rate the *CONCLUSION* of this paper.**

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Please rate the *REFERENCES* of this paper.**

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Yes, It is clear and it represents the whole work perfectly.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Yes, it is understandable.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

*(Please insert your comments)*

Yes, There are some errors which should be corrected before submission.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Yes, it is alright.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Yes, it is okay.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Yes, completely.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Yes, Reference are relative.

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

There are some grammatical error. Author should aware about this and improve their language skill.

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

No, the Author must include the name of fiber.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

No, the author needs to include the changes of result with%

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

*(Please insert your comments)*

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

No, the author must include the name of the product instead of process.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\*

*(Please insert your comments)*

Need to change all figures

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\*



(Please insert your comments)

Paper is very weak.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

\*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Ok

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**