EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

# Manuscript: **"Adéquation Du Besoin En Formation Du Personnel De Soins De Santé Maternelle Et Infantile (SMI) Au Burkina Faso"**

YEARS

Submitted: 25 January 2021 Accepted: 06 July 2021 Published: 31 July 2021

Corresponding Author: Ousmane Sylla

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n25p257

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Elena Hunt, Laurentian University, Canada

Reviewer 2: Akmel Meless Simeon, Ouattara University, Ivory Coast

## **ESJ** Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- 🖲 Yes
- No No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: \*

- 🖲 Yes
- O No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- 🖲 Yes
- No No

## The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

(Please insert your comments) yes, please see text

## The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

(Please insert your comments) yes, please see text for changes

## There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments) Many, please see text

### The study METHODS are explained clearly.

(Please insert your comments)

Instruments are not explained, variables are not defined, analysis are named but do not appear later as results. Please see text for comments.

## The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

\*

(Please insert your comments)

Many grammatical and expression errors. Discussion is not clear, sometimes inaccurate, conclusions are more adequate. Please, see text.

## The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

\*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes

## The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa. (Please insert your comments)

yes

## Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- O 1
- С 2
- $\bigcirc$ 3
- ۲ 4
- $^{\circ}$ 5
  - Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
- Ο 1
- О 2
- ۲ 3
- 0 4
- 0 5 •

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- \*
- 0 1
- ۲ 2
- $^{\circ}$ 3
- 0 4
- $\circ$ 5 •

Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- \*
- 0 1
- ۲ 2
- Ο 3
- 0 4
- 0 5 •

Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] \*

- 0\_1

- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • <sub>5</sub>

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

- \*
- 0 <sub>1</sub>
- ° 2
- ° 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- \*
- ° 1
- <sup>0</sup> <sub>2</sub>
- ° 3
- • 4
- • 5

## **Overall Recommendation!!!**

- \*
- Accepted, no revision needed
- C Accepted, minor revision needed
- 🔎 Return for major revision and resubmission
- C Reject

## Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Tableau 1 ne totalise pas les effectifs (N), ni les proportions à 100%.



## **ESJ** Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- • Yes
- <sup>O</sup> No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- 🖲 Yes
- • No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- 🖲 Yes
- <sup>O</sup> No

### The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

- \*
- (Please insert your comments)

Le sujet est intéressant, parce qu'il est d'actualité. Mais il nécessite une reformulation (voir correction)

### The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

(Please insert your comments)

Les différents éléments constituant le résumé existent. Toutefois une harmonisation est nécessaire (voir correction)

### There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments) Le travail est assez bien écrit. Cependant des fautes de forme existent (voir correction)

### The study METHODS are explained clearly.

\*

(Please insert your comments) La méthodologie est acceptable

### The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

\*

(Please insert your comments)

L'introduction ne comporte pas de questions de recherche, d'objectifs spécifiques. De ce fait, la logique entre objectifs et résultats est difficile à cerner. Les différents résultats à discuter doivent être mis en évidence (voir correction)

## The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

(Please insert your comments) La conclusion comporte quelques insuffisances, à revoir

## The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa. (Please insert your comments) Les références bibliographiques sont assez bien présentées

## Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- ° 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- 0 4
- 4 • 0 5
  - <sup>5</sup> *Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- <sup>0</sup> 4
  - Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
  - \*
- 0 1
- <sup>0</sup> 2
- • 3
- • 4
- <sup>O</sup> <sub>5</sub> *Please rate the METHODS of this paper.* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
- 0
- <sup>1</sup>
- 0 2

- <sup>0</sup> <sub>3</sub>
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- <sup>6</sup> 5 *Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
- 0 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- • 1
- ° 2
- ° 3
- • 4
- •
  - **Overall Recommendation!!!**
  - \*
- C Accepted, no revision needed
- C Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- <sup>O</sup> Reject

## Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Travail intéressant. Toutefois il nécessite une réorganisation (voir correction)

D

EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL