

Manuscript: “**Dynamics And Impact Of Flowering Insects On Sesamum indicum L. (Pedaliaceae) Production In Maroua (Far-North, Cameroon)**”

**Submitted: 26 April 2021**

**Accepted: 14 June 2021**

**Published: 31 July 2021**

Corresponding Author: Michelson Azo’o Ela

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n25p342

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Otiobo A.E.Nadine, University of Bamenda, Cameroon

**Reviewer 2:** Njoya Moses Tita Mogho, University of Bamenda, Cameroon

**Reviewer 3:** Blinded

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

|   |  |
|---|--|
| Reviewer Name: OTIOBO A. E. Nadine  |  |
| University/Country: University of Bamenda / Cameroon  |  |
| Date Manuscript Received: 04/05/2021  | Date Review Report Submitted: 07/05/2021 |
| Manuscript Title: <b>Spatial dynamics of the flower visiting entomofauna and its impact on <i>Sesamum indicum</i> L. (Pedaliaceae) production in Maroua (Far-North, Cameroon)</b> |  |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 33.05.2021   |  |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <span style="background-color: yellow;">Yes</span> /No  |  |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <span style="background-color: yellow;">Yes</span> /No                     |  |
| You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <span style="background-color: yellow;">Yes</span> /No   |  |

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

| <i>Questions</i>  | <i>Rating Result</i><br>[Poor] 1-5<br>[Excellent] |
|---|---|
| <b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>  | <b>4</b>  |
| <i>The title can be reduce specially” the flower visiting entomofauna” can be written as “flowering insects and becomes “Spatial dynamics of the flowering insects and their impact on <i>Sesamum indicum</i> L. (Pedaliaceae) production in Maroua (Far-North, Cameroon)</i> |   |
| <b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and</b>  | <b>5</b>  |

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| <b>results.</b>  |          |
| <i>All the important elements of the abstract are present and well presented</i>                                     |          |
| <b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>                                    | <b>4</b> |
| <i>The language is good in quality and the spelling mistakes are few</i>   |          |
| <b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>   | <b>3</b> |
| <i>Not all the methods are explained like the foraging parameters. Should be explain</i>                             |          |
| <b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>   | <b>5</b> |
| <i>Only some few corrections that are minors but need to be specify</i>  |          |
| <b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>                                      | <b>5</b> |
| <i>The conclusion is accurate and correspond to the content</i>  |          |
| <b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>  | <b>5</b> |
| <i>The references are comprehensive and appropriate just for the authors to be sure to respect the journal style</i> |          |

**Overall Recommendation**(mark an X with your recommendation) :

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| Accepted, no revision needed               |          |
| Accepted, minor revision needed            | <b>X</b> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission |          |
| Reject                                     |          |

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Read and correct those minors' revisions to ameliorate the quality of your document