

Manuscript: “**Transición Para La Escolarización Del Preescolar A La Primaria Matemáticas Y Educación Física; Una Revisión Sistemática**”

Submitted: 29 May 2021

Accepted: 08 July 2021

Published: 31 July 2021

Corresponding Author: Isaac Alejandro Aquino-Davila

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n25p361

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: David Pérez Jorge, University of La Laguna, Spain

Reviewer 2: Maria Del Mar Estrada De Madariaga, Doctorado en Universidad de Granada, Spain

Reviewer 3: Amaya Epelde Larrañaga, University of Granada, Spain

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: MARIA DEL MAR ESTRADA DE MADARIAGA	
University/Country: Doctorado en Universidad de Granada. Spain	
Date Manuscript Received: 06/15/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 06/18/2021
Manuscript Title: Transición para la Escolarización del Preescolar a la Primaria; una Revisión Sistemática	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0631/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
The title is not very appropriate to the study, since it refers to a bibliographic review on the evaluation of aptitudes for the transition from preschool to primary school, especially in the area of mathematics, personal and social	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> It does not name one of the qualitative instruments, which in my opinion is very important, the observation	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
There is an error in the 4th paragraph that consists of square brackets with ellipsis	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The methodology section is somewhat repetitive	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
He should review APA standards for bibliographic references	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
They are accurate	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
They must be reviewed	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Good job, but needs some reviews.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Amaya Epelde Larrañaga	
University/Country: University of Granada - Spain	
Date Manuscript Received: 15/06/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 19/06/2021
Manuscript Title: Transición para la Escolarización del Preescolar a la Primaria; una Revisión Sistemática	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0631/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>The title is adequate.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5

<i>The abstract presents all the necessary elements.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>It is necessary to review the full text. There are some errors of expression and grammar punctuation.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>It is a bibliographic review. The methodology for conducting this review is well explained.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>The results are clear.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>The conclusions are correct according to the review carried out.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>The references are very appropriate.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):