EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
by European Scientific Institute
ESI
10 YEARS

Manuscript: **"The Use of STEM Approaches To Improve Formula Derivation Steps in Material Science and Engineering Programmes at Higher Education Institutions"**

Submitted: 13 May 2021
Accepted: 01 July 2021
Published: 31 August 2021

Corresponding Author: Charles Darko

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n29p38

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:
*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:
*

- ( ) Yes
- (•) No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:
*

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
*

(Please insert your comments)
The title of the paper is clear and provides a succinct description of the paper.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
*

(Please insert your comments)
The abstract provides a very good summary of the main elements of the paper.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
(Please insert your comments)
Refer to Specific Comments in the attached document.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.
*

(Please insert your comments)
Whiles the study methodology has been very well explained, analysis of students' feedback in Section 3 can be enhanced as recommended; (see attachment for Reviewer Feedback0

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
*

(Please insert your comments)
The paper is coherent,

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
*

(Please insert your comments)
The concluding remarks are consistent with the overall content of the paper.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.
*
Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.
(Please insert your comments)
Yes.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!
*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
This is a very well conceptualised paper that seeks to highlight the importance of mathematics in Engineering education. The paper and its engagement with relevant literature also provides very good insight into STEM pedagogy and scholarship. I recommend publication of the paper after the following minor suggestions have been considered to help further improve on the overall quality of the paper.