

Manuscript: “**Facteurs Associes Aux Deces Maternels A L’hôpital De Zone Saint Jean De Dieu De Tangueta De 2015 A 2019**”

Submitted: 18 June 2021

Accepted: 20 July 2021

Published: 31 August 2021

Corresponding Author: Atade Sèdjro Raoul

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n29p93

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Sidiki Kaba

IFORD (Institut De Formation Et De Recherche Démographiques), Université Yaoundé 2, Cameroun

Reviewer 2: Ibrahim El Ghazi

Université Moulay Ismail, Cluster des Compétence Environnement et santé, Meknès, Maroc

Reviewer 3: Ousmane Sylla

Direction Générale de la Santé et de l’Hygiène Publique Bamako, Mali

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Utilisez la formulation suivante :

FACTEURS ASSOCIES AUX DECES MATERNELS A L'HÔPITAL DE ZONE SAINT JEAN DE DIEU DE TANGUIETA DE 2015 A 2019

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

A reprendre

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

La plupart des phrases sont à reformuler.

Vous trouverez les remarques dans l'article en mode suivi de modification

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

La méthode d'analyse contient des aberrations (voir fichier)

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Referez vous aux commentaires dans le texte.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

A reprendre, referez vous aux commentaires dans la conclusion.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

A reprendre. Vous n'avez utilisé aucune norme de référencement.

Vous gagnerez à utiliser la norme de la revue.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3

- 4
- 5

Please rate the *BODY* of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the *CONCLUSION* of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the *REFERENCES* of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Ok

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Ok

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Ok

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Ok

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Ok

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Ok

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Ok

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the *BODY* of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the *CONCLUSION* of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the *REFERENCES* of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title is clear

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract is few clear but can be improve

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)
it contains a lot grammatical errors and spelling mistakes

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)
Methods of this study is clear

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)
Any

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)
The conclusion in well

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.
(Please insert your comments)
must be improve

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
the job is well done but must be improved in all