

Manuscript: **"Analyse Structurale De La Déformation Dans Les Granitoïdes Éburnéens De La Région De Doropo (Nord-Est De La Côte d'Ivoire)"**

Submitted: 01 July 2021 Accepted: 03 August 2021 Published: 31 August 2021

Corresponding Author: Ziandjêdé Hervé Siagné

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n29p157

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- ^C Yes
- No 🖲

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- C Yes
- • N
- No No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- • Yes
- C No
- NO

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

(Please insert your comments) The title is clear

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

(Please insert your comments) No comment

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments) No few grammatical errors

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

(Please insert your comments) The methods of petrography and structural studies are well explained

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

(Please insert your comments) Few errors

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

(Please insert your comments) The conclusion is accurate and supported by the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa. (Please insert your comments) No comment

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- * • • •
- [©] 1
- [°] 2
- ° 3
- • 4
- . O -
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- 0
- 1
- [°] 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

- 0
- 1
- ^C 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- [°] 2
- • 3
- . .
- 4
- [°] 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
*
С
    1
О
    2
\mathbf{C}
    3
\odot
    4
О
    5
Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
0
    1
О
    2
O
    3
\odot
     4
\mathbf{O}
     5
Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
0
    1
0
    2
0
    3
О
    4
\odot
     5
Overall Recommendation!!!
*
О
    Accepted, no revision needed
\odot
    Accepted, minor revision needed
0
     Return for major revision and resubmission
С
     Reject
Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
```

Make few corrections

EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

