

Manuscript: "Second États Des Lieux Des Facteurs De Décompensation Cétosique Du Diabète Au Centre National Hospitalier Universitaire De Cotonou"

Submitted: 31 March 2021 Accepted: 24 June 2021 Published: 31 August 2021

Corresponding Author: Comlan Jules Gninkoun

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n29p173

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Adama Kamagaté

Laboratoire de Physiologie animale, de Pharmacologie et de Phytothérapie, UFR SN, Université Nangui Abrogoua, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Karima El-Mouhdi

Laboratoire de la géo-biodiversité et patrimoine naturel, centre GEOPAC, institut scientifique-Rabat, Université Mohamed V. Maroc

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

•	 Yes

• ® No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

Yes

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

• Yes

No 💆 No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Not accurate because it's not a comparative study

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

(Please insert your comments)

Good

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Some corrections to make in the body of the text

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Study methods are explained clearly but need to be completed

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Some corrections to make in the body of the text

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

(Please insert your comments)

Good

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa. (Please insert your comments) As requested Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 1 2 \circ Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 1 2 3 Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 1 2 0 0 Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 1 2 Please rate the BODY of this paper.

	[Poo	or] 1-5 [Excellent]
•	0	1
•	0	2
•	•	3
•	0	4
•	О	5
		ase rate the CONCLUSION of this paper. or] 1-5 [Excellent]
•	C	1
•	0	2
•	•	3
•	0	4
•	0	5
		ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper. or] 1-5 [Excellent]
•	0	1
•	O	2
•	•	3
•	0	4
•	0	5
	Ove	erall Recommendation!!!
•	О	Accepted, no revision needed
•	\odot	Accepted, minor revision needed
•	C	Return for major revision and resubmission
•	0	Reject
		nments and Suggestions to the Author(s): ase make the corrections mentioned in the body of text to allow

Please make the corrections mentioned in the body of text to allow the publication of your paper.



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept. Yes No You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: • Yes No You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes No The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. (Please insert your comments) Yes The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

(Please insert your comments)

Yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments) Minor mistakes

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
(Please insert your comments) Yes, there are errors. However, they have been corrected What underlined in red must be removed, what in green must be taken into consideration.
The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
(Please insert your comments) it requires a minor correction
The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.
Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa. (Please insert your comments) References should be corrected according to the journal's recommendations. APA style should be adopted throughout the work
Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
*
1
2
3
4
C 5
Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
*
C ₂
C 4
° 5
Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] *
° 1
C 3
© 4

•	0	5
		ase rate the METHODS of this paper.
	[Po	or] 1-5 [Excellent]
	C	1
•	O	2
•	0	3
•	•	
•	C	4
•		5 ase rate the BODY of this paper.
		or] 1-5 [Excellent]
	*	
•		1
•	0	2
•	•	3
•	0	4
•	0	5
		or se rate the CONCLUSION of this paper. or 1-5 [Excellent]
	*	or 1-3 [Executive]
•	O	1
•	0	1 2
•		
•	0	2
•	○ ⊙	2 3
•	C ⊙ C Plea	2 3 4 5 ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
•	C ⊙ C Plea	2 3 4 5
•	C C C Plea	2 3 4 5 ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper. or] 1-5 [Excellent]
•	○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Plea	2 3 4 5 ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper. or] 1-5 [Excellent]
•	○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Plea • • • • • •	2 3 4 5 ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper. or] 1-5 [Excellent] 1 2
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	○	2 3 4 5 ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper. or] 1-5 [Excellent] 1 2 3
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ Plea ○ ○ · * ○ ○ ○	2 3 4 5 ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper. or] 1-5 [Excellent] 1 2 3 4
•	C	2 3 4 5 ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper. or] 1-5 [Excellent] 1 2 3
•	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	2 3 4 5 ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper. or] 1-5 [Excellent] 1 2 3 4 5
•	C	2 3 4 5 ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper. or] 1-5 [Excellent] 1 2 3 4 5
•	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	2 3 4 5 ase rate the REFERENCES of this paper. or] 1-5 [Excellent] 1 2 3 4 5 rall Recommendation!!!

• Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This is a very interesting work indicating the risk factors of ketosis decompensation in hospitalised diabetic patients comparing with a previous study.

Nevertheless, the results of the retrospective study should be emphasized in the work as a whole;

The title should be corrected to indicate time and place;

the text should be written in impersonal style;

Thus, the references should be corrected to APA style.

Finally, the necessary revisions are indicated in the text.

