Manuscript: **"Profil Des Infections Osteo-Articulaires A Germes Banals En Hospitalisation Au Service De Rhumatologie Du Chu De Cocody"**

Corresponding Author: Djaha Kouassi Jean-Mermoze

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kakpovi Kodjo
Service de Rhumatologie, CHU Sylvanus Olympio, Lomé, Togo

Reviewer 2: Zavier Cossi Zomalheto
Service de Rhumatologie du CHU de Cocody

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:
*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:
*

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:
*

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
*
(Please insert your comments)
Oui

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
*
(Please insert your comments)
Oui

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
(Please insert your comments)
il y a quelques fautes

The study METHODS are explained clearly.
*
(Please insert your comments)
méthode est correcte

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
*
(Please insert your comments)
il est clair mais doit être amélioré

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
*
(Please insert your comments)
conclusion correcte

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.
*
Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.
(Please insert your comments)
Correcte

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ● 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ● 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ● 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ● 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- ○ Accepted, no revision needed
- ◉ Accepted, minor revision needed
- ○ Return for major revision and resubmission
- ○ Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021*

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:
*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:
*

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:
*

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
*
(Please insert your comments)
OUI

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
*
(Please insert your comments)
OUI

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
(Please insert your comments)
OUI

The study METHODS are explained clearly.
*
(Please insert your comments)
OUI

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
*
(Please insert your comments)
OUI MAIS LES RESULTATS DOIVENT ETRE MIEUX PRESENTES

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
*

(Please insert your comments)
DOIT ETRE AMELIOREE

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.
*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.
(Please insert your comments)
OUI

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ◉ 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2

- ◉ 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*
- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ◉ 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*
- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ◉ 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
*
- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Overall Recommendation!!!
*
- ○ Accepted, no revision needed
- ◉ Accepted, minor revision needed
- ○ Return for major revision and resubmission
- ○ Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):