

Manuscript: “**Depresión En Estudiantes Universitarios En Tiempos De Covid-19**”

Submitted: 27 June 2021

Accepted: 03 August 2021

Published: 31 August 2021

Corresponding Author: Sergio Quiroz Gomez

Doi:10.19044/esj.2021.v17n29p282

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Alma Verena Solis Solis

Maestra en Metodología de la Investigación Estudiante del Doctorado en Ciencias de la Educación, de la Facultad de Ciencia, Educación y Humanidades de la Universidad Autónoma de Coahuila

Reviewer 2: Benie Aloh J. M. H.

Felix Houphouet-Boigny University of Abidjan, Cote d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

El título es claro y concuerda con el texto que se presenta.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

El resumen muestra claramente el objetivo del estudio, el método utilizado, así como los resultados, solo se recomienda agregar antes del objetivo un texto breve que ayude a ubicar al lector sobre el tema a tratar.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Se presentan pocos errores gramaticales, en general el texto expone de manera clara y con mínimos errores el tema.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

En el texto se menciona el método utilizado y el nombre del cuestionario, pero falta detallar la manera en que el estudiante responde y como se le asigna los números, además queda una idea sin explicar a profundidad donde menciona que en ocasiones la persona selecciona mas de una alternativa, pero no se explica que es lo que sucede en estos casos o que acciones toma el investigador.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

La mayoría del documento no contiene errores.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Las conclusiones corresponden al tema y se encuentran fundamentadas por los resultados, pero son muy cortas y se recomienda profundizar en base a los resultados que se muestran.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Las referencias son apropiadas pero en cuanto a la citación en el texto no hay homogenización ya que en párrafos solo se menciona un apellido del autor, mientras que en otros se mencionan los dos apellidos.

Además falta profundizar en otros estudios de salud mental durante el periodo de pandemia por COVID-19.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Se recomienda homogenizar criterios en cuanto a la citación, incluir en la introducción otros estudios de salud mental y explicar a profundidad las conclusiones.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title is clear and adequate, but it can be worded differently. Distance learning and depression : the case of university students during the COVID 19.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The summary clearly presents the objects, methods and results. However, it should not have a title.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

The level of grammar is correct.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The methods used are clear.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the document is clear and fairly well structured.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Summary and conclusion are acceptable.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Bibliographic references are generally acceptable. There are many French works on the subject.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Apart from the titles to be deleted from the abstract, the article can be published without further modifications.