

Manuscript: “**Facteurs Associés à L’altération de la Qualité de Vie Sexuelle Chez les Lombalgiques Chroniques à Cotonou**”

Submitted: 23 June 2021

Accepted: 03 August 2021

Published: 31 August 2021

Corresponding Author: Shegun Ulrich Possi

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n34p126

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kodjo Kakpovi, Service de Rhumatologie, CHR Kara Tomdè, Kara-Togo

Reviewer 2: Houzou Prénom, Service de Rhumatologie, CHU Kara, Université de Kara-Togo

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

L'âge moyen des 127 patients (nombre de femmes, nombre d'hommes) était de....
arc antérieur; traitement médical institué; Il y a un double emploi avec le laüs ci dessus
car seul IMC est le seul élément nouveau qui ne figurait pas dans le laüs
comparativement au tableau I. Donner 02 éléments du tableau pour le citer et ne plus
faire le laüs. Double emploi par rapport au Tableau II et III également.
Quels sont les facteurs qui ne sont pas associés ??? Exemple Age ?

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

yes, look at the reviewed document

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

few errors to see on the document

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):