

Paper: “Competencias Digitales Estudiantiles Ante la Educación Virtual en Tiempos de Pandemia de Covid-19. Caso de Estudio Educación Técnica y Tecnología”

Submitted: 24 August 2021

Accepted: 10 September 2021

Published: 30 September 2021

Corresponding Author: Jorge Erazo Rivera

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n32p273

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: John Emmanuel Tobar Litardo
Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre, Ecuador

Reviewer 3: Deysi Lucia Damián Tixi
Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre, Ecuador

Reviewer 4: Mildred Kenia Barrientos Alvarado
Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre, Ecuador

Reviewer 5: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: John Tobar Litardo	
University/Country: Ecuador	
Date Manuscript Received: 24/08/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/08/2021
Manuscript Title: Ccompetencias digitales estudiantiles ante la educación virtual en tiempos de pandemia de covid-19. Caso de estudio educación técnica y tecnología	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0926/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>Revisar el error de redacción en la última palabra del título</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>No está bien redactado, tiende a confundir</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Debe redactarse en tercera persona y existen varios errores gramaticales en todo el documento</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

El presente trabajo de investigación es de interés y cumple con la profundidad investigativa, pero tiene varios errores gramaticales que deben ser pulidos para su publicación.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Deysi Lucia Damián Tixi	
University/Country: Ecuador	
Date Manuscript Received: 24/08/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/08/2021
Manuscript Title: COMPETENCIAS DIGITALES ESTUDIANTILES ANTE LA EDUCACIÓN VIRTUAL EN TIEMPOS DE PANDEMIA DE COVID-19. CASO DE ESTUDIO EDUCACIÓN TÉCNICA Y TECNOLOGÍA	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0926/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>Corregir la última palabra del tema de investigación "Tecnología" por "Tecnológica"</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>La redacción del resumen está confusa, por favor revisar</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>La redacción del documento cuenta con varios errores ortográfico, por favor revisar y redactar en tercera persona como también evitar los gerundios.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Revisar la redacción y los errores gramaticales, una vez realizado las observaciones puede continuar con el proceso de revisión o publicación.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Mildred Kenia Barrientos Alvarado	
University/Country: Ecuador	
Date Manuscript Received: 24/08/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/08/2021
Manuscript Title: COMPETENCIAS DIGITALES ESTUDIANTILES ANTE LA EDUCACIÓN VIRTUAL EN TIEMPOS DE PANDEMIA DE COVID-19. CASO DE ESTUDIO EDUCACIÓN TÉCNICA Y TECNOLOGÍA	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0926/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>Revisar la redacción del título de investigación</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>Revisar la redacción, poco comprensible</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Si, revisar que no existan gerundios y esté redactado en tercera persona</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

La investigación tiene un interesante enfoque aplicado a la percepción estudiantil en cuanto a la necesidad de generar competencias digitales. Sin embargo, necesita pulir la redacción.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: