

Paper: “Can Personality Traits, Risk Attitude, and Demographics Explain Fund Managers’ Disposition Effect?”

Submitted: 23 August 2021

Accepted: 13 September 2021

Published: 30 September 2021

Corresponding Author: Omar Mzioud

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2021.v17n32p303](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2021.v17n32p303)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: John Strait
Sam Houston State University, USA

Reviewer 2: Bupinder Zutshi
Jawaharlal Nehru University, India

Reviewer 3: Enricko Ceko
Wisdom University, Albania

Reviewer 4: Zeineb Ouni
Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières, Canada

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes the title is clear but i suggest title as below

Role of demographics, risk attitude and personality traits in Fund Management.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes. The abstract clearly presents objectives, methods and results of the research paper.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

The paper has linguistic clarity using appropriate grammatical techniques.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The research paper has conceptual clarity and methodological understanding. Researcher has used suitable research methodology to seek answers to the research questions.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The concepts presented in the body of the paper are in sync with the stated objectives . Findings have been found using appropriate data analysis and research methods.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Conclusion is based on the research analysis and data presented in the research paper

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

References are exhaustive and depicted as per the journal requirements.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Author may consider change in title as suggested

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title is clear and appropriate

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract clearly presents the necessary components of the paper

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

The grammatical errors are minimal

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The methodology is clearly explained

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the paper contains few errors

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The conclusions are succinct and supported by the analysis

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Citations are appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5


Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This a very solid paper.



Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Besides the fact that the abstract presents objects, methods, and results, doesn't shows them in separated paragraphs.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

At the part of the article titled: Data and methodology, author/s doesn't explain at the very beginning of this part of the article methodology (the way how researcher/s select method/s to be used to perform the research, between several methods). after explaining methodology (possible methods to be performed and why they have selected the method they have used), author/s should explain exactly the selected method how they have used it. After this, several paragraphs should explain data, data available, data collection and data created by author/s performing research (data which results between and / or at the at the end of performing statistical manipulations.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate, but, during pandemics there are published several studies about managerial performance, especially related to personality traits, risk attitude and demographics of such type of managers.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

1. Look for literature on the issue published recently.
2. Correct part of the article titles Data and methodology, on Methodology, methods and data.
3. At page 2, paragraph II, listing five factors, should be followed explaining these five factors at the next paragraph, using the same order.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title is clear and is in line with the content of the article. Moreover, the title already announces the objective of the study.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract clearly presents the purpose of the study, the sample studied, the methods used and summarizes the main results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

The number of errors is not very significant.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

This study is carried out under the behavioral finance paradigm. Therefore, the use of the questionnaire is quite standard, and is appropriate for the study. The methods used for the operationalization of variables, for the item analysis and for the data processing are clearly explained.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

In general, the document is well written and the ideas are clear and to the point. Page 7, lines 16-17: "to avoid regret" must be replaced by "to avoid uncertainty" consistent with prospect theory predictions.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The conclusion is accurate and supported by the content. Indeed, the conclusion concisely summarizes the results, presents the contributions of the study and opens up perspectives for new research.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

The list of the references is comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The paper is concise, clear and well-written.

The review of the literature is appropriate and provides the reader an adequate background about the topic. The methods are appropriate. Overall, the results are clear and the contributions are interesting.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: