

Paper: **“Perception of Parents and Children on Parenting in Mala, Kerala, India”**

Submitted: 03 May 2021

Accepted: 15 September 2021

Published: 30 September 2021

Corresponding Author: Jude Gonsalvez

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n33p81

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Tertindi Lordsent Tyokyyaa
Benue State University, Makurdi, Nigeria

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer 4: Olena Kovalchuk
Dnipropetrovsk State University of Internal Affairs, Ukraine

Reviewer 5: Blinded

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The Abstract should include a more precise research method, objects, and research sample. Research sample of this research were students and their parents.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

A rectangular text input field with a light gray border. Inside the field, there is a single forward slash character (/). To the right of the field, there are three small square buttons stacked vertically. Below the field, there is a horizontal scroll bar with a central arrow and two side buttons.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

In the Abstract it is written that there was an interview. But it is not explained in Methods. It would be better if sources of data collection were more precisely described.

The objectives should be central point of Results and Interpretation. That means it would be better if analysis of results contains a more thorough description of each of the objectives.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

"The Review of Literature"- it would more appropriate if it was written after the Introduction.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

It would be better if Conclusion contains more elaborated findings.

list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

All works cited in the text were not listed in the References and vice versa. Authors did not use the APA citation style on all references.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Dear authors, it is recommended to find Authors Guidelines of the Journal, but also to find some similar papers in that journal so you could have the example of body of text.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title is clear and reflects the content of the paper. However, the name of the town/state should be added to the title to clearly spell out the setting

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract is clear and presents the objectives, methods and results. Nevertheless, the authors should rearrange the abstract to make it flow better. It should follow:

Brief background

Purpose of the study

Method

Findings

Conclusion

Recommendations

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

There are some grammatical errors that need to be checked

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The methods are quite explained. Nevertheless, there is inconsistency in the design. In some places, the authors stated "explorative" while in others, it is either "descriptive" or "randomized group design." Authors should reconcile these differences and maintain consistency

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the paper is clear but contains some errors especially in the area of in-text citations most of which are not properly done

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The summary/conclusion are supported by the content of the paper

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Majority of the citations are not in the reference list. Some of these include the following:

Ainsworth and Bowlby, 1991

Anjali Choudhri (1967)

Aquilino, 2001;

Battistich, 1999;

Baumrind (1996)

Baumrind's (1967

Bell (1968)

Bhargava et al (1998)

Bose V (1977

Boulton (1983

Buhrmester et al., 1992

Carl Rogers (1963

Cohen, 1997;

Deslandes, 1997;

Dornbusch et al., 1987;

Freud (1936)

Goodenow, 1993

Hill, Nancy et al (1997)

Hinde (1989)

Holden & Miller (1999)

Holden and Miller (1999)

Holden et al. (1992)

Jackson et al., 1998;

Kashyap, Lina D (1993)

Kurt Lewin's (1935)

Lam, 1997

Maccoby and Martin (1983)

Maslow's (1971)

Minuchin (1985)

Mischel & Shoda (1995)

Neera Desai and Matheri Krishna Murthi (1987)

Pal (1987)

Piaget and Inhelder's (1969)

Radziszewska et al 1996;

Rai (1991)

Resnick et al., 1997;

Rohner, 1986

Schaefer (1959)

Shah CK (1976)

Simons-Morton et al, 2001

Srivastava RC (1964)

Strom, et al (1990)

Sutherland's (1983)

Thelen and Adolph (1992)

Vygotsky's work (1978)

William JC and Vest D (1982)

Also, some of the references on the reference list are not found in the body of the paper

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3

- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Authors should pay attention to the references and in-text citations

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title “Resilience and academic self-concept as explanatory variables of achievement motivation among colleges of education students” is clear and adequate to the content of the paper

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract clearly presents aim, objects and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

The paper is well-written in terms of grammar and vocabulary. The authors used scientific language construction of C1/C2 level.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The article contains the whole section called Methods. It is divided into following parts: design, participants and measures, data collection plan, data analysis. The procedure and choice of methods are grounded and explained. The methods are checked for validity and reliability.

The only thing I would like to be more detailed is to indicate the age of College students. It is written they are the first-year students. What is the proportion between males and females?

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The explanation of results is clear.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The conclusions are supported by the content. They are divided into two parts: conclusions and implications. I am interested to know if the authors take into

account the mode of learning process in the pandemic period. Did college student encounter the lock-down period, these external factors can also have an impact on academic self-concept and achievement motivation?

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

The references are comprehensive and appropriate, there are 45 references. Along with classical works, the authors analysed the results by contemporary researchers.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The paper is well written, well-structured and clear. I am interested if you take into account the pandemic period. Do your students study in the situation of lock-down? What is the students age? I ask because our education systems can be different and it is better to specify this information and the ratio between males and females.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: