

Paper: “The Role of Social Capital in the Employability of University Graduates in Zambia: A Case of University of Zambia Graduates”

Submitted: 12 October 2021

Accepted: 26 October 2021

Published: 31 October 2021

Corresponding Author: Eliphas Machacha

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2021.v17n35p111](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2021.v17n35p111)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Iresha Madhavi Lakshman
University of Colombo, Sri Lanka

Reviewer 2: Sadik Madani Alaoui
Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah University, Morocco

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes



There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

yes




The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes




The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes




The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes



The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Good title that meets the requirements of scientific paper, given the fact that the functional relationships among its variables are clear.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract clearly presents all the necessary elements of the paper, including the aim, RQ, the method, and the discussion part.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Grammar spell checker is required to avoid some small language and style inaccuracies.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*


(Please insert your comments)

the method is accurate and still it requires some refinement in terms of acknowledgment of some scale adopted in the study.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)



The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

the conclusion successfully sums up the whole work. However, some implications for the paper should be presented clearly.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

The APA style is adopted and the alphabetic order is respected.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

THE PAPER presented some interesting contributions with respect to employability issues in Zambia. I recommend that the findings should be shared and published.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: