Manuscript: **"Impact of Bias Correction on Present and Mid-Future Rainfall Projections over Senegal"**

Corresponding Author: Alioune Sarr

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Prince Chigozie, Electronics and Computer Unit, Department of Physics, University of Calabar, Nigeria

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

Kumari Lipi

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.
Completed: 2021-09-07 05:59 AM
Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

No

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*yes

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*yes

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ● 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ● 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ● 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ● 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ● 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ● 2
- ○ 3
- ○ 4

- ○ 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ● 3
- ○ 4
- ○ 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- ● Accepted, no revision needed
- ○ Accepted, minor revision needed
- ○ Return for major revision and resubmission
- ○ Reject

The Paper has been written in a good manner. Need to the revised conclusion as per the methodology adopted.