

Manuscript: “**Design of a Miniaturized Implantable PIFA with DGS for the Investigation of Uterus Fibroids**”

Submitted: 12 August 2021

Accepted: 20 September 2021

Published: 31 October 2021

Corresponding Author: Collins E. Ouserigha

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2021.v17n37p211](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2021.v17n37p211)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Prof. Dr. Salloom Al-Juboori, Mutah University/Jordan

Reviewer 2: Hadi Sutopo

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Prof. Dr. Salloom Al-Juboori	
University/Country: Mutah University/Jordan	
Date Manuscript Received: 3/9/201	Date Review Report Submitted: 5/9/2021
Manuscript Title: Design of A Miniaturized Implantable PIFA with DGS for The Investigation of Uterus Fibroids	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 75.08.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
Require update references , because only online reference 2020 and no references 2021	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Require update references, because only online reference 2020 and no references 2021

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 30/08/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 08/09/2021
Manuscript Title: Design of A Miniaturized Implantable PIFA with DGS for The Investigation of Uterus Fibroids	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 75.08.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>It is clear and adequate to the content of the article</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>The abstract has not been completed with the design method.</i>	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>The grammatical errors are found using verb for singular and plural subjects</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>The study is explained clearly. However, authors should pay attention to the text in figures. Some text in Fig 2 and Fig 7 are not readable. It would better be edited.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>The results are clear.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>Add citations from journal articles to confirm the research findings.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>Authors make citations using font size smaller than body text. Citations and references use APA style, so the font size of citation should be the same as body text. The references are not formatted in APY style properly.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):