

Paper: “Yo Fui Negro Una Vez...Cuando Era Pobre”. Racismo Filosófico, Racionalidad Supremacista e Injusticia Epistémica”

Submitted: 23 September 2021

Accepted: 19 October 2021

Published: 31 October 2021

Corresponding Author: Maria Lujan Christiansen

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2021.v17n36p76](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2021.v17n36p76)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Carlos Mejía Reyes
Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, México

Reviewer 3: Hilda Soledad Torres Castro
Facultad de Estudios Superiores Zaragoza, UNAM, Mexico

Reviewer 4: Ricardo Angel Minetti
Universidad Nacional del Litoral, Argentina

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes, it is recommendable to include the name of the main authors in the article referred to.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

yes

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

It is a bibliographical review and analysis. No methodology is referred in the article.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

There are some important authors and ideas missing as Levi-Strauss.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- En el abstract, se recomienda citar a Kant, Hume y los autores citados en el texto.
- Es muy importante la contrastación del ensayo de Claude Levi-Strauss "Raza e Historia" para enriquecer las perspectivas del artículo.
Homogeneizar las citas. En unas se añade Kant a KGS y en otras no.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

El título es claro y va acorde con el contenido del artículo.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

El resumen es bastante claro y preciso, el artículo es una reflexión crítica del tema, por lo que el autor señala el propósito, la forma de desarrollarlo, los medios y recursos que utilizó y los ejes de análisis.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

No se encontraron errores gramaticales , ni ortográficos; el escrito es excelente.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

El autor explica con bastante precisión, detalle y elegancia los distintos recursos metodológicos para llevar a la reflexión y crítica del tema.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

El cuerpo del artículo es bastante claro, pertinente y soltura el desarrollo del tema. Se logra el propósito del artículo. No se encontraron errores de ningún tipo.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

La conclusión respalda con argumentos y referencias cada uno de los puntos tratados en el artículo.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Las referencias cumplen en su totalidad las exigencias del texto; está completa, es adecuada y bastante extensa.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Felicito al autor; es una excelente artículo, está escrito en forma clara y elegante. El tema acertado y su desarrollo es central, los recursos (literatura, filosofía y antropología) utilizados combinan bastante bien su desarrollo.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: