

**Paper: “La Résilience chez des Adolescentes Victimes d’agressions Sexuelles
Commises par des Femmes en Côte d’Ivoire”**

Submitted: 20 September 2021

Accepted: 21 October 2021

Published: 31 October 2021

Corresponding Author: Mélissa Kouassi-N'zi Kan

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2021.v17n36p129](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2021.v17n36p129)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ismaila Sene

Université Assane Seck de Ziguinchor, Sénégal

Reviewer 2: Messanga Adolphe

Université de Dschang, Cameroun

Reviewer 3: Karima Laamiri

Université Abdelmalek Essaadi, Tanger, Maroc

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Ismaïla SENE	
University/Country: Université Assane Seck de Ziguinchor (Sénégal)	
Date Manuscript Received: 21 septembre 2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 27 septembre 2021
Manuscript Title: RESILIENCE CHEZ DES ADOLESCENTES VICTIMES D'AGRESSIONS SEXUELLES COMMISES PAR DES FEMMES	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

<i>Le titre est clair et adapté au contenu. Les auteurs pourraient, toutefois, préciser le cadre de l'étude (la cote d'ivoire) dans le titre.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>Le résumé est succinct et complet. Je recommande juste de préciser le milieu de l'étude (Cote d'ivoire) pour aider le lecteur à mieux contextualiser.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Juste quelques coquilles à corriger!</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3,5
<i>La méthode est claire et pertinente mais l'échantillon reste discutable.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3,5
<i>Les résultats sont conformes aux objectifs de l'étude. Ils sont néanmoins pas généralisables (même à une échelle micro) du fait de la faiblesse de l'échantillon. Pour renforcer les résultats, je pense qu'il serait utile d'envisager la possibilité de recueillir les commentaires des enseignants et des religieuses qui encadrent les adolescentes pour mieux diversifier les données, d'une part, et, d'autre part, pour mieux apprécier le niveau de résilience des adolescentes victimes de violences sexuelles.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>La conclusion est claire et la mise en perspective des résultats avec d'autres recherches est intéressante.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>Néanmoins, vérifier l'adéquation avec les normes de la revue quant au mode de séparation après le titre de la référence (point ou virgule).</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- Quelques coquilles à corriger et quelques expressions à revoir.
- Justifier la faiblesse de l'échantillon dans la méthodologie.
- Diversifier les sources de données pour renforcer les résultats.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

RAS

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Messanga Gustave Adolphe	
University/Country: Université de Dschang (Cameroun)	
Date Manuscript Received: 21/09/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 30/09/2021
Manuscript Title: RESILIENCE CHEZ DES ADOLESCENTES VICTIMES D'AGRESSIONS SEXUELLES COMMISES PAR DES FEMMES/ RESILIENCE IN ADOLESCENT GIRLS VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT BY WOMEN	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1017/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>Il devrait être modifié en y ajoutant un article devant résilience et en accentuant le E</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3,5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: karima laamiri	Email:
University/Country: Université Abdelmalek Essaadi, Tanger, Maroc	
Date Manuscript Received: 21 septembre 2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 29 septembre 2021
Manuscript Title: RESILIENCE CHEZ DES ADOLESCENTES VICTIMES D'AGRESSIONS SEXUELLES COMMISES PAR DES FEMMES	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1017/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

<i>il faut préciser le contexte de l'étude.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>oui</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Quelques fautes à revoir.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>Le choix de trois adolescentes nécessite plus de justifications.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>Les résultats sont assez clair.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>La conclusion répond au contenu</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>Il faut revoir l'ordre des données bibliographiques.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: