EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL Dy European Scientific Institute



Manuscript: "Caracteristiques Du Système D'exploitation Des Poulets Locaux Dans Deux Zones Agro-Ecologiques (Sud Forestier Et Centre Savanicole) De La Côte D'Ivoire"

Submitted: 27 Octomber 2021 Accepted: 17 November 2021 Published: 30 November 2021

Corresponding Author: Loukou N'Goran Etienne

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n40p240

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Sara Boukhorb

**Reviewer 2:** Brou Gatien, Universite Peleforo Gon Coulibaly Korhogo / Côte D'ivoire

# **ESJ** Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!* 

Reviewer Name:	Email:		
University/Country: UNIVERSITE PELEFORO GON COULIBALY KORHOGO / CÔTE D'IVOIRE			
Date Manuscript Received: 12/11/21	Date Review Report Submitted: 15/11/21		
Manuscript Title: Caracteristiques Du Système D'exploitation Des Poulets Locaux Dans Deux Zones Agro-Ecologiques (Sud Forestier Et Centre Savanicole) De La Côte D'Ivoire.			
ESJ Manuscript Number: 33_11_2021			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No			

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] <b>1-5</b> [Excellent]
<b>1.</b> The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
RAS (Please insert your comments)	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
Some faults exist (Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
RAS (Please insert your comments)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
The structuring in relation to the theme on characterization mus with FAO standards (please insert your comments)	t be in accordance
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
main results to remember (Please insert your comments)	
	2

### **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation)

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	Х
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Insert recent bibliographies. There are some minor mistakes in the text

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:** Allow 10 days of instruction and reduce the cost of publishing instructions