

Manuscript: “Coexistence D’un Lymphome Lymphocytaire Et D’une Leucémie Myéloïde Chronique: A Propos De Deux Observations Au Togo”

**Submitted: 14 August 2021**

**Accepted: 08 September 2021**

**Published: 30 November 2021**

Corresponding Author: Collins E. Ouserigha

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n40p228

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Mounia Bendari

**Reviewer 2:** Kodjo Kakpovi

***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020***

**Mounia Bendari**

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2021-08-24 10:24 AM

Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

je pense que le titre doit être reformuler: Coexistence d'un lymphome lymphocytaire et d'une leucémie myéloïde

chronique : A propos de deux observations au Togo , suggère que les 2 pathologies existent de manière simultanée chez le même sujet alors que dans le texte on se rend compte que ce n'est pas le cas

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

l'abstract doit contenir l'intérêt scientifique de ce papier

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

oui, il y a quelques erreurs d'orthographe et des phrases a reformuler

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

oui, cependant le diagnostic du lymphome lymphocytaire n' a pas été confirmé pour le 1er malade

la remission cytogénétique n' a pas été recherchée pour les 2 malades

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

le texte contient des phrases qui doivent être reformulée

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

oui

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

oui

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

**Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.**

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): je suggère que ce papier doit être revu par l'auteur, il faut améliorer le style, corriger les erreurs d'orthographe,**

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2021-08-27 09:22 AM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**correct**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**yes**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

**Minor revision**

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

**Minor revision**

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

**Minor revision**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject



