

Manuscript: **“Overview of the Effectiveness of Aspirin, Ibuprofen, Acetaminophen”**

Submitted: 01 November 2021

Accepted: 26 November 2021

Published: 30 November 2021

Corresponding Author: Mehrasa Nikandish

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n40p263

Peer review:

Reviewer 1:Blinded

Reviewer 2: Patricia Randrianavony, University of Antananarivo- Madagascar

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Patricia RANDRIANAVONY	
University/Country: University of Antananarivo- Madagascar	
Date Manuscript Received: 01/11/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 03/11/2021
Manuscript Title: Effect of aspirin, ibuprofen, acetaminophen on teeth	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 42.11.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
<i>The title is clear but does not reflect the content of article. The effect of the tree pain killers on teeth is not obvious in the text.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>The abstract presents objects, methods and results from literature, but they are not sufficient referring to the title</i>	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>The text needs to be rearranged, because as it is, it's difficult to understand</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>The study methods are clear, it is a literature review.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>Results are clear but the authors talked more about pain killer, and not much about the effect of the 3 medications on teeth</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>Authors need to check the title and results. They talk more about analgesic effect of the drugs than their effect on teeth.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
<i>References need to be verified. Authors mixed two styles in the text, they should choose, either to use number or name of authors.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The title and the content should match. In fact the title talks about effect of 3 drugs on teeth. Meanwhile, the article talks more about analgesic effect of those drugs than their effect on the teeth, especially on tooth movement as mention in the article, as side effect of anti inflammatory. It talks about tooth erosion after chewing aspirin, ibuprofen decreases sensitivity of tooth from in – office bleaching, and what about paracetamol?