

Manuscript: “**Dynamique D’occupation Du Sol Et Diversité Floristique De La Forêt Classée De La Palé (Côte d’Ivoire)**”

**Submitted: 24 July 2021**

**Accepted: 14 October 2021**

**Published: 31 December 2021**

Corresponding Author: Soro Dramane

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n43p1

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Ouattara Noufou Doudjo, Université Nangui Abrogoua

**Reviewer 2:** Gnonlonfi Eugène, University of Abomey-Calavi (UAC)/Bénin

**Reviewer 3:** AGBON Apollinaire C., Université d’Abomey-Calavi/Bénin

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: OUATTARA Noufou Doudjo	
University/Country: Université NANGUI ABROGOUA	
Date Manuscript Received: 25/ 09/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 28/09/2021
Manuscript Title: <b>Dynamique d'occupation du sol et diversité floristique de la forêt classée de la Palé soumise à l'influence de l'activité agropastorale, au Nord-Ouest de la Côte d'Ivoire</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The aim is well stated in the title</i>	

<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The abstract is good, but the English version should be improved</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The article is well written. But the parts I underline in yellow should be improved</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The methods used are suitable to obtain the objectives</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The parts of the results are well presented and are clear</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Good conclusion</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The references are appropriate</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	×
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

RAS

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of

the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: GNONLONFI Eugène	
University/Country: University of Abomey-Calavi (UAC)/Bénin	
Date Manuscript Received: 24/09/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 01/10/2021
Manuscript Title: <b>Dynamique d'occupation du sol et diversité floristique de la forêt classée de la Palé soumise à l'influence de l'activité agropastorale, au Nord-Ouest de la Côte d'Ivoire</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 18.08.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Le titre est bien clair et correspond au contenu de l'article)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Le resume contient des éléments à reformuler)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Le document est bien écrit avec peu d'erreurs gramaticales et de fautes d'orthographe)</i>	

<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(La méthodologie est bien expliquée. Toutefois, il y a de confusion sur le type de classification utilisée. )</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Les résultats sont bien écrits. Toutefois, il y a quelques des erreurs de formulation et de présentation des cartes qui ne sont pas assez lisibles) )</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Les conclusions et résumés son ten harmonie avec le contenu du document)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3,5</b>
<i>(Les références sont claires. Toutefois, ells nécecitent de petites corrections)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

L'article est bien rédigé dans son ensemble. Cependant, il y a quelques erreurs et confusion du type de méthodologie utilisée.

Je suggère aux auteurs de faire une relecture du document en prenant en compte les suggestions de corrections.

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of

the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: <b>AGBON Apollinaire C.</b>	
University/Country: <b>Université d'Abomey-Calavi/Bénin</b>	
Date Manuscript Received: <b>24/09/2021</b>	Date Review Report Submitted: <b>07/10/2021</b>
Manuscript Title : <b>Dynamique d'occupation du sol et diversité floristique de la forêt classée de la Palé soumise à l'influence de l'activité agropastorale, au Nord-Ouest de la Côte d'Ivoire</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(NONE)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(NONE)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>The article is well written and has no grammatical errors or typos</i>	

<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(NONE)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(NONE)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(NONE)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(NONE)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The title of the article is too long. A proposal for a title has been made to this effect.