

Manuscript: “**Effets De L'extrait Aqueux Du Moringa Oleifera Sur L'abondance Des Oocystes De Protozoaires Intestinaux Des eaux Usées D'origine Hospitalière À Yaoundé-Cameroun**”

Submitted: 02 November 2021

Accepted: 09 December 2021

Published: 31 December 2021

Corresponding Author: Tsoméne Namekong Pierre

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n43p58

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Abdellah El Hmaid, Moulay Ismail University, Morocco

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Abdellah EL HMAIDI	
University/Country: Moulay Ismail University, Morocco	
Date Manuscript Received: November 21	Date Review Report Submitted: November 22
Manuscript Title: Effets de l'extrait aqueux du Moringa oleifera sur l'abondance des oocystes de protozoaires intestinaux des eaux usées d'origine hospitalière à Yaoundé-Cameroun	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1146/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Il faut corriger les nombreuses fautes d'orthographe et de grammaire. Revoir la présentation des références dans le texte et dans la liste bibliographique. Parfois la légende est en anglais. Aussi, ajouter dans l'introduction quelques référence du journal : ESJ. Supprimer le prénom dans la référence. Ajuster votre texte à droite ! Eviter l'utilisation de je, nous, on, ... Laisser la parole aux données et résultats ! On ne met pas de référence dans la conclusion !! Ce n'est pas une discussion !

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Avec les corrections que j'ai proposées en rouge dans le texte, l'article peut être publié.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: November 13, 2021	Date Review Report Submitted: November 30, 2021
Manuscript Title: Effets de l'extrait aqueux du Moringa oleifera sur l'abondance des oocystes de protozoaires intestinaux des eaux usées d'origine hospitalière à Yaoundé-Cameroun	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1146/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
Some spelling mistakes need to be correct. some passages of the text lack punctuation	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The authors describe a study with the objective to study the effect of aqueous extract of *Moringa oleifera* leaves on the abundance of intestinal protozoan resistance forms in the wastewater of Yaounde General Hospital. The author proved the effectiveness of the leaves in reducing parasites in raw water samples, and the treatment of the raw water samples significantly improved the water quality. The work presented remains original since, in terms of plagiarism assessment, only about 16% of this paper consists of texts more or less similar to the content of 113 sources considered most relevant. The largest section with similarities contains 69 words and has a similarity index of 78% with its main source. The subject is important. The research method is elaborate and complete. The text is written in a good and comprehensive French. Some spelling mistakes need to be corrected. Some passages of the text lack punctuation. I believe this is an interesting contribution and I recommend for publication in ESJ after a minor revision.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The authors describe a study with the objective to study the effect of aqueous extract of *Moringa oleifera* leaves on the abundance of intestinal protozoan resistance forms in the wastewater of Yaounde General Hospital. The author proved the effectiveness of the leaves in reducing parasites in raw water samples, and the treatment of the raw water samples significantly improved the water quality. The work presented remains original since, in terms of plagiarism assessment, only about 16% of this paper consists of texts more or less similar to the content of 113 sources considered most relevant. The largest section with similarities contains 69 words and has a similarity index of 78% with its main source. The subject is important. The research method is elaborate and complete. The text is written in a good and comprehensive French. Some spelling mistakes need to be corrected. Some passages of the text lack punctuation. I believe this is an interesting contribution and I recommend for publication in ESJ after a minor revision.