EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

Manuscript: **"Coexistence D'un Lymphome Lymphocytique Et D'une Leucémie Myéloïde Chronique: A Propos De Deux Observations Au Togo"**

Submitted: 14 August 2021 Accepted: 08 September 2021 Published: 31 December 2021

Corresponding Author: Essohana Padaro

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n43p158

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mounia Bendari

Reviewer 2: Kodjo Kakpovi

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed. Completed: 2021-08-24 10:24 AM Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

yes

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- 🖲 Yes
- ^O No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- *
- 🖲 Yes
- ^O No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- *
- Yes
- ^O No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

je pense que le titre doit être reformuler: Coexistence d'un lymphome lymphocytique et d'une leucémie myéloïde

chronique : A propos de deux observations au Togo , suggère que les 2 pathologies existent de maniére simultanée chez le meme sujet alors quel' dans le texte on se rend compte que ce n est pas le cas

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

l'abstract doit contenir l'intérêt scientifique de ce papier

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

oui, il y a quelques erreurs d'orthographe et des phrases a reformuler

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

oui, cependant le diagnostic du lymphome lymphocytique n' a pas été confirmé pour le 1er malade

la remission cytogénétique n' a pas été recherchée pour les 2 malades

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

le texte contient des phrases qui doivent être reformulée

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

oui

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

oui

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- 0 1
- • ₂
- ° 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4

• • 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ⁰ 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- ° 1
- ° 2
- ° 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 0 ₁
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

- *
- C Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- C Return for major revision and resubmission
- C Reject

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed. Completed: 2021-08-27 09:22 AM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- 🖲 Yes
- ^O No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- *
- 🔹 🤨 Yes
- [©] No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- *
- Yes
- O No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

correct

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Minor revision

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Minor revision

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Minor revision

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Minor revision

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Minor revision

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • •

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 0 1
- ⁰ 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- ° 1
- ⁰ 2

- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ⁰ 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- 0 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ° 2
- ° 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

- *
- C Accepted, no revision needed
- • Accepted, minor revision needed
- C Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject