

Paper: “Transition à la Parentalité et Fonctionnement Conjugal chez les Couples Infertiles en Tunisie”

Submitted: 23 November 2021

Accepted: 06 December 2021

Published: 31 December 2021

Corresponding Author: Najoua Ghirir

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2021.v17n41p84](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2021.v17n41p84)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Telelaz Galaa Esposito
University of Tunis El Manar, Tunisia

Reviewer 2: Tieffi Hassan Guy Roger
Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Côte d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Telelaz Galaa Esposito	
University/Country: university of Tunis El Manar	
Date Manuscript Received: 11/12/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 13/12/2021
Manuscript Title: Transition à la parentalité et fonctionnement conjugal chez les couples infertiles en Tunisie	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1233/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3/5
Je pense que le titre est claire juste il manque le devis de l'étude	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4/5
Cette partie à bien était traitée	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> L'article est bien rédigé	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Il manque le devis de la recherche	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les résultats sont bien présentés	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La conclusion est claire	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4/5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les references sont cite selon les norms APA	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Je pense qu'il faut bien revoir les commentaires que j'ai postulé afin de corriger avant de le publier et revoir les quelques fautes d'orthographe

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: TIEFFI Hassan Guy Roger	
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny/Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 09/12/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 14/12/2021
Manuscript Title: Transition à la parentalité et fonctionnement conjugal chez les couples infertiles en Tunisie	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

L'auteur propose un sujet d'actualité. Cependant, il ne pose pas véritablement un problème de recherche qui s'appuie sur des aspects factuels tirés du contexte tunisien comme le stipule l'intitulé de son étude.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: