Paper: "Contribution des Techniques Andragogiques au Succês de la Formation Continue : Cas de la Mise en Œuvre des Objectifs de Développement Durable au Benin"

Submitted: 17 November 2021 Accepted: 02 December 2021 Published: 31 December 2021

Corresponding Author: Florentine Akouete

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2021.v17n42p23

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Maouni Abdelfettah Université Abdelmalek Essaadi, Tétouan, Maroc

Reviewer 3: Assouman Ahou Mélissa Estelle Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny de Cocody, Côte d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: MAOUNI Abdelfettah	
University/Country: Université Abdelmalek	Essaadi – Tétouan- Maroc
Date Manuscript Received: 19/11/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 25 /11/2021
Manuscript Title: LES TECHNIQUES AN L'INTÉGRATION DES OBJECTIFS D (ODD) DANS LA CONCEPTION ET LA ET STRATÉGIES :	DE DÉVELOPPEMENT DURABLE MISE EN ŒUVRE DES POLITIQUES
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1216/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the	paper: <u>Yes</u> /No
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this pap paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	per, is available in the "review history" of the

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: