

**Paper: “Ville et Evenement : Analyse de l’inscription Territoriale de la « Fete du 15 Aout » Dans la Ville de Savalou (Centre Benin)”**

**Submitted: 11 September 2021**

**Accepted: 25 December 2021**

**Published: 31 December 2021**

Corresponding Author: Sylvain Vissoh

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2021.v17n42p69](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2021.v17n42p69)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Baloubi M. David  
Université d’Abomey-Calavi, Bénin

Reviewer 2: Yao Koffi Alexandre  
Université Félix Houphouet Boigny, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 3: Koumassi Hervé  
UAC, Benin

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: KOUMASSI Hervé	
University/Country: Bénin/ UAC	
Date Manuscript Received: 6 /10/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 14/10/2021
Manuscript Title: <b>VILLE ET EVENEMENT : ANALYSE DE L'INSCRIPTION TERRITORIALE DE LA « FETE DU 15 AOUT » DANS LA VILLE DE SAVALOU ( CENTRE BENIN)</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 77.09.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes</b> /No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b> /No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b> /No	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>

<i>Le texte correspond bien au contenu</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>La method d'échantillonnage n'est pas bien define, les criteres et la repartition des enquêtes n'est pas definit</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>La partie contribution financière de la fete est a approfondir</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

L'auteur doit clairement definir la repartition des personnes enquêtes.  
 Les cartes utilisées ne sont pas representatives de la commune de Savalou  
 L'aspect contribution financière reste a completer

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: YAO Koffi Alexandre	
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouet Boigny (Côte d'Ivoire)	
Date Manuscript Received: 03/12/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 10/12/2021
Manuscript Title: <b>Ville et évènement : analyse de l'inscription territoriale de la « fete du 15 août » dans la ville de Savalou (centre Benin)</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 77.09.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/ <del>No</del>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/ <del>No</del>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/ <del>No</del>	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Titre acceptable	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Le résumé est bien mené. Il reste tout de même quelques précisions à apporter	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Le manuscrit est digeste. Il comporte moins de fautes de grammaire et d'orthographe	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3.5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Les méthodes utilisées ont besoin de plus de précision dans certains cas de figure comme mentionner dans mes commentaires.	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>3.5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Les résultats sont en lien avec l'objectif de l'étude. Néanmoins, certaines parties ont besoin d'être justifiées par des tableaux, des verbatims, etc. Et l'analyse et interprétation des données doivent être approfondies car elles paraissent superficielles.	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Tout est bien mené. Il y a une conformité entre le résumé et la conclusion et le contenu de l'article	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Les références sont appropriées. Cependant, la rédaction de ces références ne suit pas une norme scientifique appropriée. Il y a une mauvaise citation des références.	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: BALOUBI M. David	
University/Country: Université d'Abomey-Calavi (Bénin)	
Date Manuscript Received: 07/12/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 11/12/2021
Manuscript Title: <b>VILLE ET EVENEMENT: ANALYSE DE L'INSCRIPTION TERRITORIALE DE LA « FETE DU 15 AOUT » DANS LA VILLE DE SAVALOU (CENTRE BENIN)</b> <b>CITY AND EVENT: ANALYSIS OF THE TERRITORIAL REGISTRATION OF THE "FEAST OF AUGUST 15 " IN THE CITY OF SAVALOU (CENTER BENIN)</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 77.09.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No <b>YES</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No <b>YES</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No <b>YES</b>	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<b><i>Questions</i></b>	<b><i>Rating Result</i></b> [Poor] <b>1-5</b> [Excellent]
-------------------------	---

<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

L'auteur doit approfondir l'impact territorial de l'événement pour rester conforme à son titre et à son objectif

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**