

Manuscript: “**Antioxidant And Anti-Inflammatory Effects Of Ethanollic And Aqueous Root Extracts Of Piliostigma Thoningii (Schumach.) Milne-Redhead**”

Submitted: 02 November 2021

Accepted: 10 January 2021

Published: 31 January 2022

Corresponding Author: Tahiri Sylla

Doi:10.19044/esj.2022.v18n3p101

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Manga Essouma François, Institute of Agricultural Research for Development (IRAD)

Reviewer 2: Aminu Ibrahim, PhD, Bayero University, Kano - Nigeria

Reviewer 3: Lazare Akpetou, Université Jean Lorougnon Guédé Daloa / Côte d’Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: <i>MANGA ESSOUMA François</i>	
University/Country: <i>Institute of Agricultural Research for Development (IRAD)</i>	
Date Manuscript Received: <i>30/11/2021</i>	Date Review Report Submitted: <i>1 /12/2021</i>
Manuscript Title: <i>Antioxidant and Anti-inflammatory Effects of Ethanolic and Aqueous Root Extracts of Piliostigma thonningii (Schumach.) Milne-Redhead</i>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: <i>45.11.2021</i>	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <i>Yes/No</i>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <i>Yes/No</i>	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <i>Yes/No</i>	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>The title is clear and adequate to the content of the article</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>The abstract is clear and presents well the objectives, methods and results, but there are some misunderstandings that should be corrected.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Yes</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>It is not explicit enough.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>The presentation of some results is not explicit enough and there are results that appear even though their methodology has not been presented.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>The conclusion needs to be reworded. There are long sentences without meaning and it is important to summarize the findings of the study while opening up perspectives.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>No, and even in the text.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	Yes
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

You should proofread your manuscript in English and be more explicit about certain points. You will see the comments in the manuscript.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The theme of the article is good and timely because its values nature-based solutions. However, the author needs to proofread and add some elements to make the manuscript stronger.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Aminu Ibrahim, PhD (Associate Professor)	
University/Country: Bayero University, Kano - Nigeria	
Date Manuscript Received: 30-11-2021 & 29-12-2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 03-01-2022
Manuscript Title: Antioxidant and Anti-inflammatory Effects of Ethanolic and Aqueous Root Extracts of Piliostigma thonningii (Schumach.) Milne-Redhead	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 45.11.2021 (1145/21)	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No YES	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No YES	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No YES	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4.5
IT RHYMES WELL WITH TE CONTENTS AND CONCLUSION	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
BRIEF AND PRECISE	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>SPELL-CHECK AND GRAMMAR-CHECK NEED TO BE DONE</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
ADEQUATELY DONE	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
A FEW TABLES & FIGURES NEED SOME HARMONIZATION	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
PRECISE VALUES NEED SOME MENTION IN THE CONCLUSION	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4.5
OKAY	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

NEED TO READ ON THE APPROPRIATE METHODS OF EXTRACTION WITH SCIENTIFIC VALIDITY – IT WILL HELP IN FUTURE RESEARCHES. GOOD WORK.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Lazare Akpetou	
University/Country: Université Jean Lorougnon Guédé Daloa / Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 12/29/2021	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Antioxidant and Anti-inflammatory Effects of Ethanolic and Aqueous Root Extracts of <i>Piliostigma thonningii</i> (Schumach.) Milne-Redhead	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1145/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>The title is expressive of what the paper contains.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3

<i>Some details have to be added to clear the abstract. Mainly, rats have not been mentioned as part of the experiments. Even more the rat population has to be clarified.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Mains paragraphs must reviewed on the suggestion basis, except the chapter 4.4. and Discussion.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>Experiments with rats lacks in the paper.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>No errors in the results.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>No comments</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>No comments</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The introduction should be more explicit with general and specific considerations. Extra lines and/or phrases should expand the work presentation (Introduction). Statistical analyses must exhibit more explanations as suggested.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

No specific comments to the Editors.